Maryland

Department of Budget and Management





TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
Section 2: INTRODUCTION	18
Policy Statement	19
The Office of the Statewide EEO Coordinator	
Section 3: STATEWIDE WORKFORCE STATISTICS	23
Summary Highlights	24
Total Full-time and Part-time Workforce Utilization	
Full-time and Part-time Employees Percentage	28
Statewide Workforce by Age	
EEO Report by Service Type	30
Section 4: WORKFORCE UTILIZATION	31
Summary Highlights	32
Statewide Workforce Utilization Analysis	
Summary of Agency Workforce Analysis	
Section 5: DISTRIBUTION OF STATEWIDE EMPLOYEE WORKFOR	CE BY SALARY,
GRADE, RACE AND GENDER	39
Summary Highlights	40
Workforce by Salary, Race and Gender	44
Workforce by Grade, Race and Gender	45
Executive Pay Plan Workforce by Salary, Grade, Race and Gender	47
Section 6: STATEWIDE PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS	48
Summary Highlights	49
Statewide Personnel Transactions	50
Statewide Separations and Terminations	50
Statewide Personnel Transactions Analysis	51
Separations and Terminations by Age, Race, and Gender	53
Section 7: REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS	
Summary Highlights	
Statewide Requests for Reasonable Accommodation	56
Section 8: STATEWIDE EEO COMPLAINTS	
Summary Highlights	
Statewide Discrimination Complaints by Agency, Basis, Issue and Disp	position59
Section 9: UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND	60
Summary Highlights	
Total Full-time and Part-time Workforce Utilization	
TOTAL PULL-LITTE AND FAIL-LITTE WOLKTOICE UTILIZATION	

Section 10: INDEPENDENT HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS	65
Summary Highlights	66
Baltimore City Community College Full-time and Part-time Workforce Utilization	67
Baltimore City Community College Personnel Transactions Analysis	68
Summary of Separations and Terminations	68
Morgan State University Full-time and Part-time Workforce Utilization	69
Morgan State University Personnel Transactions Analysis	
Summary of Separations and Terminations	70
St. Mary & College of Maryland Full-time and Part-time Workforce Utilization	71
St. Mary& College of Maryland Personnel Transactions Analysis	72
Summary of Separations and Terminations	72
Appendix: í í í í í í í í í í í í	73
Executive Order (Appendix A)	
Statewide EEO Organizational Responsibilities (Appendix B)	
Fair Practices/EEO/ADA Directory (Appendix C)	84
Glossary:	87

SECTION ONE

EXECUTIVE

SUMMARY

SECTION 1: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Commitment to Equal Employment Opportunity

The State of Maryland recognizes and honors the value and dignity of every employee and understands the importance of providing its employees with a fair opportunity to pursue their careers in an environment free of discrimination or any form of prohibited harassment. The State is committed to ensuring that all personnel actions concerning a State employee will be taken on the basis of merit and fitness, and without regard to age, ancestry, color, creed, gender identity or expression, genetic information, marital status, mental or physical disability, national origin, religious affiliation, belief or opinion, race, sex, sexual orientation, or any other non-merit factor.

The Executive Order Code of Fair Employment Practices 01.01.2007.16 has set the tone for how State government works by establishing standards for ensuring that State government maintain a model EEO program. A copy of the Executive Order is provided on pages 74-80. The Executive Order underscores State government commitment to fair employment practices and zero tolerance for employment discrimination. Additionally, this Order emphasizes that equal employment opportunity must be embraced by agency leadership and communicated through the ranks from the top down to ensure that the principals of EEO are engrained in their organizational structure.

Governor O@Malley and Lieutenant Governor Brown continue to make government more efficient and effective, with the help of our devoted State employees. Even through the toughest of times, our government has strived to not lose sight of the need to build and maintain a workforce that is reflective of the communities we serve, by employing individuals of varying minority groups, and without regard to gender, age, disability, religion or any other protected class. Governor O@Malley and Lt. Governor Brown expect agencies to incorporate equal employment opportunity into everyday practices and make those principles a fundamental part of agency culture.

To ensure that we are progressing toward our goals of fair and equal treatment, the Office of the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator (OSEEOC) has prepared this report, covering the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011. This report contains selected measures outlining the progress toward equal opportunity in Maryland government and assesses the State¢s Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Program.

2011 EEO Highlights

During calendar 2011, the Office of the Statewide EEO Coordinator engaged State agencies and the State & EEO professionals in a number of EEO program activities designed to move the State toward the vision set forth in Governor Og Malley executive order and assist agencies in becoming model employers. The office continues to focus on compliance, training, outreach, and diversity awareness.

In order to ensure compliance with State and federal EEO laws, policies and practices, the OSEEOC has continued to conduct ongoing compliance audits to review and monitor the EEO programs in each State agency. The office conducted 9 agency compliance audits in 2011 and provided each agency with an exit report detailing positive changes, as well as potential improvements to their EEO programs.

Through enhanced training and outreach activities, the office strove to enhance the skills and knowledge of the Stateøs EEO professionals. Specific activities included:

- Refresher training on EEO laws, diversity, and investigative techniques for State EEO and Fair Practices Officers.
- Ongoing guidance and training to Americans with Disabilities Act coordinators in partnership with the Department of Disabilities.
- Improvement of the DBM/EEO website to include EEO best practices, laws, forms, and resources.
- Continuation of the EEO Connection ó a quarterly EEO newsletter that includes EEO law updates, noteworthy court rulings, a diversity corner and community awareness information.
- Second EEO Symposium ó The event was attended by approximately 75 EEO professionals from various State agencies. The symposium served to reinforce the knowledge of the EEO professionals through Effective Workplace Communication in a Technological Age. This symposium also provided the opportunity to strengthen partnerships and promote teamwork and professionalism. Guest speakers included Dr. John T. Wolfe, Jr., Associate Vice Chancellor for Diversity and Leadership Development for the University System of Maryland and Mr. Woodrow B. Grant, Jr. former Chief of the Equity Assurance and Compliance Office at the State Department of Education.
- Training and instruction on the latest assistive technology and website accessibility technology available to individuals with disabilities.

During 2012, the office intends to continue training for EEO professionals across the State, conducting compliance audits of agency EEO efforts to ensure consistency with State and federal reporting requirements, producing and distributing educational material to ensure that all employees fully understand their rights and protections, and investigating agency appeal and whistleblower complaints.

Data Analysis

Detailed EEO statistical and demographic workforce data for fiscal 2011 and trends since fiscal 2006 are presented in Sections 3-10. Notable findings and trends are discussed briefly below.

Workforce Diversity

Maryland State government employs individuals from a wide range of racial and ethnic groups. For example, African-Americans make up 42% of the State government workforce (Exhibit 1). Additionally, this group represents a larger share of the State government workforce compared to Maryland Civilian Labor Force (CLF), while other minorities are almost equally represented (Exhibit 2). The percentage of non-Whites in the workforce has increased from 48% in fiscal 2006 to 49% in fiscal 2011 while the percentage of females decreased from 57% in fiscal 2006, to 56% in fiscal 2011 (Exhibit 3).

During fiscal 2011, new hires continued to add to the diversity of the workforce with women accounting for 53% of new hires, minorities accounting for more than half, while African-Americans accounting for 45% (Exhibits 4 and 5). In each of the last five years, women and minorities each have represented more than half of all new hires.

Favorable trends in minority hiring are reflected in the demographics of the State workforce by age. Approximately 44% of State workers under the age of 50 are African-American. That percentage declines among older workers, with African-Americans making up 39% of workers between 50-59, and 33% of workers age 60-69 (Exhibit 6).

Advancement Opportunities

In fiscal 2011, women and minorities generally received promotions or upward reclassifications at rates consistent with their share of the workforce. Women 6 who represent 56% of the workforce - accounted for 54% of all promotions and 60% of reclassifications. African-Americans 6 who represent 42% of the workforce 6 accounted for 47% of the promotions and 36% of the reclassifications (Exhibit 7).

Disciplinary Personnel Transactions

African-Americans were over-represented with respect to suspensions (62%), terminations (62%), and disciplinary demotions (56%) in fiscal 2011 (Exhibit 8).

Disparities Remain

Although women and minorities are well represented in State government, significant disparities remain between the genders and races with respect to employment responsibilities and pay. Whites, for example, hold 72% of positions classified as Officials and Administrators and 55% of positions classified as Professionals. African-Americans in contrast hold 70% of the Service/Maintenance positions. With respect to special appointments, 64% are White and 27% are African-American.

Women are also under-represented in certain job categories. They are less likely than men to serve as Protective Service Workers (11% of sworn officers and 38% of non-sworn officers), but are far more likely than men to serve in Administrative Support positions (90%). Despite holding 56% of State positions, women fill only 49% of positions categorized as Officials and Administrators.

The disparities in job categories contribute to the difference in the salaries paid to men and women and whites and minorities. Exhibit 9 depicts the fiscal 2011 salaries for men and women and whites and minorities. Male employees were paid an average of \$5,764 more than female employees in fiscal 2011, while Whites were paid an average of \$7,604 more than African-Americans and \$299 less than other minorities. The gap between the average salaries of Whites and African-Americans grew slightly between FY 2006 and FY 2011. The gap between men and women remains about the same as fiscal 2010 (Exhibit 10).

The greatest impediment to closing the salary gap for African-Americans is their disproportionate share of low paying jobs. African-Americans hold more than half of positions classified as Grade 8 or below. The grade with the largest number of African-American workers is Grade 13 which pays a maximum of \$52,915.

In order to address the disparity issues, the State has expanded its current relationships with Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs), professional organizations, and job fairs that may be sources of African-American and other diversity recruitment in order to develop a talent pipeline and increase the applicant flow of qualified people of color. The State has increased the use of the internet, facebook and twitter to share job announcements, increase networking opportunities and develop partnerships with minority and disability professional organizations. Additionally, the State has added a diversity statement to the State job application to affirm its commitment to equal employment opportunity and encourage diverse group members to apply for State positions. The State continues to train managers and supervisors to recognize and avoid unequal treatment of protected group members and to enhance their skills in maintaining equal employment consideration in decisions regarding hiring, promotions, work assignments, employee development, training, evaluations, counseling, and discipline.

Other Data

Other data included in the report focus on the Stateøs success in meeting requests for reasonable accommodations for disabled workers, trends in discrimination complaints, and employment at public higher education institutions. Notable findings include:

- 155 reasonable accommodation requests were received from State employees and applicants for State employment in fiscal 2011. 71% of the requests were granted.
- Complaints of discrimination increased from 368 in fiscal 2010, to 445 in fiscal 2011.
- The vast majority of employees at the University System of Maryland (56%) and St. Maryøs College of Maryland (78%) were white. Approximately 65% of faculty and research positions in the System were filled by whites. Females comprised 52.6% of the workforce; while males comprised 47.4% of the work force.
- African-Americans composed the majority of workers (76%) at Morgan State University and (67%) Baltimore City Community College. Women held 49% of the positions at Morgan State University and 59% of the positions at Baltimore City Community College.

Exhibit 1




Exhibit 2




Exhibit 3




Exhibit 4




Exhibit 5




Exhibit 6




Exhibit 7




Exhibit 8




Exhibit 9





Exhibit 10

Average Salary for Women Trails Average Salary for Men



SECTION TWO INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

POLICY STATEMENT

It is the policy of the State of Maryland to prohibit discrimination in any personnel action concerning any employee or applicant for employment on the basis of age, ancestry, color, creed, genetic information, gender identity and expression, marital status, mental or physical disability, national origin, race, religious affiliation, belief or opinion, sex, or sexual orientation. Governor OMAlley has supported the State of Maryland Equal Employment Opportunity Program by strengthening the State commitment to providing a work environment free from discrimination and issuing a revised Executive Order to protect all State employees from discrimination. The Equal Employment Opportunity Program ensures that State government maintains a qualified diverse workforce and investigates and resolves allegations of discrimination in the work place or hiring practices.

Recognizing that the State's workforce should reflect the diversity of the population it serves, the State's Executive Branch and independent agencies consistently strive to attract and select highly qualified individuals from the most diverse group possible. The State recognizes and honors the value and dignity of every employee and is committed to providing a work environment that complies with applicable federal and State laws and guidelines, and is free of discrimination, harassment and intolerance.

The State of Marylandøs Equal Employment Opportunity Policy is administered in accordance with the following principles:

- Discrimination by State managers, supervisors and employees will not be tolerated. The recruitment, selection, appointment, compensation, assignment, promotion, transfer, discipline, and discharge of State employees shall be made without regard to age, ancestry, color, creed, genetic information, gender identity and expression, marital status, mental or physical disability, national origin, race, religious affiliation, belief or opinion, sex, or sexual orientation.
- Harassment of employees for any reason prohibited by law, including sexual harassment, is employment discrimination, and will not be tolerated.
- Cabinet officials, department heads, and heads of independent agencies in State government are expected to take appropriate measures to ensure that their agencies adhere to the States policy regarding unfair employment practices.
- Agency directors shall ensure that their designated Fair Practices Officers are known to all employees in their respective agencies, and that these officers have the support and responsibilities consistent with relevant provisions of State law and the Governor's Executive Order 6 Code of Fair Employment Practices.

FY 2011 ANNUAL STATEWIDE EEO REPORT

- Employees are encouraged to resolve complaints of discrimination at the lowest possible level by contacting their Equal Employment Opportunity or Americans with Disabilities Act Officers. Investigations of complaints of discrimination or harassment will be conducted promptly and thoroughly, and appropriate disciplinary action will be taken against any employee violating State EEO policies.
- Employees will not be subjected to any form of retaliation for filing a complaint of
 discrimination or harassment, participating in an investigation of a complaint of discrimination
 or harassment, or for objecting to a discriminatory or other illegal or inappropriate action or
 practice.
- The Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management is responsible for the oversight of the State of Marylandøs EEO Policy. The Statewide EEO Coordinator is responsible for ensuring statewide compliance with the Stateøs EEO policies and practices and administering the Stateøs EEO Program.

THE OFFICE OF THE STATEWIDE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COORDINATOR

In accordance with Subtitles 2 and 3 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article, the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator administers and enforces the State EEO Program. The Coordinator performs under the direction and supervision of the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management. The Coordinator is responsible for implementing the State EEO laws, policies and procedures and serves as the State point of contact regarding EEO related concerns. The Coordinator office also conducts investigations of discrimination, unfair employment practices, and whistleblower complaints.

In addition to administering and enforcing the State® EEO program, the Office coordinates the activities of the agency Fair Practices Officers, EEO Officers, and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Officers in accordance with the Governor® Code of Fair Employment Practices.

The OSEEOC is responsible for the following specific tasks:

- Reviewing and investigating appeals of decisions in EEO complaints filed against Executive Branch agencies, including agencies with independent personnel systems and the University System of Maryland, and, as designee of the Secretary, for investigating whistleblower complaints filed against such agencies, with the exception of those filed against the Department of Budget and Management;
- Making certain that State employees understand the State® EEO policies and their rights and responsibilities;
- Coordinating and implementing training on such topics as ADA, Sexual Harassment, Diversity and EEO laws;
- Monitoring discrimination complaints against State agencies filed with the U. S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Maryland Commission on Civil Rights (formerly the Maryland Commission on Human Relations), and other external enforcement agencies;

FY 2011 ANNUAL STATEWIDE EEO REPORT

- Conducting Agency Audit Compliance Reviews;
- Assisting agency Fair Practices, Equal Employment Opportunity and Americans with Disabilities Act Officers with enforcement efforts;
- Partnering with the Maryland Department of Disabilities to provide ongoing education and assistance to the ADA Officers;
- Providing consultation and technical assistance to agency Fair Practices, EEO, and ADA officers, as well as other agency officials;
- Preparing the Annual Report on the State EEO Program;
- Preparing and distributing reports in compliance with other State and federal requirements.

SECTION THREE

STATEWIDE

WORKFORCE

STATISTICS


SECTION 3: STATEWIDE WORKFORCE STATISTICS

The Fiscal Year 2011 Annual EEO Report contains data on the total number of State employees in the Executive Branch of State government.


Highlights of the demographic information presented in the following charts include:


- While the number of Whites in the workforce has declined since 2006, most minority groups have increased.
- Maryland has a racially diverse workforce with African-Americans holding 42% of State positions and workers identifying themselves as belonging to other minority groups holding almost 7% of positions.
- Females represent 56% of the State® workforce in fiscal 2011, a slight decrease from 2006 (57%).
- Over 70% of the State® workforce in fiscal 2011 was age 40 or older.


Workforce by Age



SECTION 3 - SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS







TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORKFORCE UTILIZATION - FY 2011

										Race								Ethnicity	
EEO Job Category		TOTAL		Wh	ite	African-American		American Indian & Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander		Balance (no race checked)		Multiracial		Lati othe	anic or no (no r race cked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Fe male	Male	Fe male	Male	Female	Male	Fe male	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Fe male	Male	Female
Officials and Administrators	4,371	2,249	2,122	1,722	1,424	339		2	3	40	29	2	2	118		12		h	
		51%	49%	39%	33%	8%	12%	0.05%	0.1%	0.92%	0.66%	0.05%	0.05%	2.70%	1.90%	0.27%	0.37%	0.32%	0.46%
Professionals	21,529	7,221	14,308 66%	4,422 ^{21%}	7,263 34%	2,117 10%		22 0.10%	30	230 1.07%	236 1.10%	6 0.03%	15 0.07%	311	450 2.09%	40 0.19%		73 0.34%	
Technicians	3,273	1,606	1,667	1,124	807	379	789	6	2	28	2	2	1	31	30	20	13	16	23
		49%	51%	34%	25%	12%	24%	0.18%	0.06%	0.86%	0.06%	0.06%	0.03%	0.95%	0.92%	0.61%	0.40%	0.49%	0.70%
Protective Service Workers: Sworn	2,448	2,187	261	1,631	126	443		3	0	13		1	0	64	7	1		31	
		89%	11%	67%	5%	18%	5%	0.12%	0%	0.53%	0.04%	0.04%	0%	2.61%	0.29%	0.04%	0.04%	1.27%	0.04%
Protective Service Workers: Non- Sworn	8,842	5,476	3,366	2,853	498	2,326		6	4	24		2	1	210	·····	30			
Sworn		62%	38%	32%	6%	26%	30%	0.07%	0.05%	0.27%	0.05%	0.02%	0.01%	2.38%	1.63%	0.34%	0.17%	0.28%	0.15%
Administrative Support	6,697	696	6,001	297	2,666	341		1	20	30		2	9	12	6	7		6	
		10%	90%	4%	40%	5%	44%	0.01%	0.30%	0.45%	0.87%	0.03%	0.13%	0.18%	2.20%	0.10%	0.76%	0.09%	1.12%
Skilled Craft Workers	1,979	1,912	67	1,283 65%	44 2%	548 28%		10 0.51%	0	18 0.91%		5 0.25%	0	0.86%	0	11 0.56%		20	,
Service-Maintenance	3,849	2,080	1,769	543	425	1,420	1,260	4	5	24	16	5	4	52	43	14	3	18	13
		54%	46%	14%	11%	37%	33%	0.10%	0.13%	0.62%	0.42%	0.13%	0.10%	1.35%	1.12%	0.36%	0.08%	0.47%	0.34%
TOTAL	52,988	23,427 44%	29,561 56%	13,875 26.2%	13,253 25.0%	7,913	14,485 27.3%	54 0.10%	64 0.12%	407 0.77%	346 0.65%	25 0.05%	32 0.06%	815 1.54%	904 1.71%	135 0.25%		203 0.38%	281 0.53%

NOTE: The data include State Personnel Management System (SPMS) and Maryland Department of Transportation (MDOT) full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

				F	ULL-TIN	ME AND	PART-	TIME	EMPLO	YEES	PERCE	NTAG	E CHAN	IGE –	- FY 200	6 & F	Y 2011					
													Race								Ethnic	city
E	EEO Jo	b Categ	gory		TOTAL		White		African-A		American I Alaska I	Native	Asia		Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander		Balance (no race checked)		Multiracial		Hispanic or (no other checke	r race ed)
-	<u>s</u>			Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Officials and	trato	2011	TOTAL	4,371	2,249	2,122	1,722	1,424	339	545	2	3	40	29	2	2	118	83	12	16	14	20
lai:	nis				51.5%	48.5%	39.4%	32.6%	7.76%	12.5%	0.05%	0.07%	0.92%	0.66%	0.05%	0.05%	2.70%	1.90%	0.27%	0.37%	0.32%	0.46%
	Administrato	2006	TOTAL	4,134	2,343	1,791	1,830	1,214	263	395	2	4	24	12	3	1	209	144	6	6	6	15
Ø2	7				56.7%	43.3%	44.3%	29.4%	6.36%	9.55%	0.05%	0.10%	0.58%	0.29%	0.07%	0.02%	5.06%	3.48%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.36%
ssion		2011	TOTAL	21,529	7,221 33.5%	14,308 66.5%	4,422 20.5%	7,263 33.7%	2,117 9.83%	6,084 28.3%	22 0.10%	30 0.1%	230 1.07%	236 1.10%	6 0.03%	15 0.07%	311 1.44%	450 2.09%	40 0.19%	96 0.45%	73 0.34%	134 0.62%
TechniciansProfessiona		2006	TOTAL	22,345	7,778	14,567	4,922	7,564	2,011	5,751	18	28	151	136	6	7	563	918	29	52	78	111
nst.					34.8%	65.2%	22.0%	33.9%	9.00%	25.7%	0.08%	0.1%	0.68%	0.61%	0.03%	0.03%	2.52%	4.11%	0.13%	0.23%	0.35%	0.50%
nicia		2011	TOTAL	3,273	1,606 49.1%	1,667 50.9%	1,124 34.3%	807 24.7%	379 11.58%	789 24.1%	6 0.18%	2 0.1%	28 0.86%	2 0.06%	2 0.06%	1 0.03%	31 0.95%	30 0.92%	20 0.61%	13 0.40%	16 0.49%	23 0.70%
Fech		2006	TOTAL	3,457	1,712	1,745	1,287	875	323	751	6	6	19	7	2	1	43	81	19	8	13	16
					49.5%	50.5%	37.2%	25.3%	9.34%	21.7%	0.17%	0.2%	0.55%	0.20%	0.06%	0.03%	1.24%	2.34%	0.55%	0.23%	0.38%	0.46%
Protective Service	Workers: Sworn	2011	TOTAL	2,448	2,187 89.3%	261 10.7%	1,631 66.6%	126 5.1%	443 18.10%	125 5.1%	3 0.12%	- 0.0%	13 0.53%	1 0.04%	1 0.04%	- 0.00%	64 2.61%	7 0.29%	1 0.04%	1 0.04%	31 1.27%	1 0.04%
Sei S	S. S.	2,006	тоты	2 220	2,074	256	1,491	143	430	96	2	_	9	_	2	_	102	13	1	2	37	2
ļ .		200	TOTAL	2,330	89.0%	11.0%	64.0%	6.1%	18.45%	4.1%	0.09%	0%	0.39%	0%	0.09%	0%	4.38%	0.56%	0.04%	0.09%	1.59%	0.09%
e ,	Ž _	.^	_	0.044	5,476	3,366	2,853	498	2,326	2,687	6	4	24	4	2	1	210	144	30	15	25	13
ctiv	rn	2011	TOTAL	8,842	61.9%	38.1%	32.3%	5.6%	26.31%	30.4%	0.07%	0.0%	0.27%	0.05%	0.02%	0.01%	2.38%	1.63%	0.34%	0.17%	0.28%	0.15%
Protective Service	orkers: Sworn	2006	TOTAL	8,359	5,107	3,252	2,469	390	2,041	2,458	6	8	11	1	3	1	539	377	6	7	32	10
ا بو	≩	٧٠		·	61.1%	38.9%	29.5%	4.7%	24.42%	29.41%	0.07%	0.10%	0.13%	0.01%	0.04%	0.01%	6.45%	4.51%	0.07%	0.08%	0.38%	0.12%
Skilled CraftAdministrativ	Support	2011	TOTAL	6,697	696	6,001	297	2,666	341	2,975	1	20	30	58	2	9	12	147	7	51	6	75
nis	dd				10.4%	89.6%	4.4%	39.8%	5.09%	44.4%	0.01%	0.3%	0.45%	0.87%	0.03%	0.13%	0.18%	2.20%	0.10%	0.76%	0.09%	1.12%
Admi	Sn	2006	TOTAL	8,355	794	7,561	333	3,527	384	3,533	2	18	20	41	2	6	45	323	2	41	6	72
- 2					9.5%	90.5%	4.0%	42.2%	4.60%	42.3%	0.02%	0.2%	0.24%	0.49%	0.02%	0.07%	0.54%	3.87%	0.02%	0.49%	0.07%	0.86%
Cr	Workers	2011	TOTAL	1,979	1,912	67	1,283	44	548	20	10	-	18	-	5	-	17	-	11	1	20	2
led .	or				96.6%	3.4%	64.8%	2.2%	27.69%	1.0%	0.51%	0.0%	0.91%	0.00%	0.25%	0.00%	0.86%	0.00%	0.56%	0.05%	1.01%	0.10%
Skil	≽	2,006	TOTAL	2,149	2,084 97.0%	65 3.0%	1,440 67.0%	28 1.3%	565 26.29%	16 0.74%	12 0.56%	- 0%	17 0.79%	- 0%	1 0.05%	- 0.00%	24 1.12%	1 0.05%	14 0.65%	20 0.93%	11 0.51%	- 0%
a)	anc _		TOTAL	2.040	2,080	1,769	543	425	1,420	1,260	4	5	24	16	5	4	52	43	14	3	18	13
Service	ten	2011	TOTAL	3,849	54.0%	46.0%	14.1%	11.0%	36.89%	32.7%	0.10%	0.1%	0.62%	0.42%	0.13%	0.10%	1.35%	1.12%	0.36%	0.08%	0.47%	0.34%
Ser	Maintenan	2006	TOTAL	4,316	2,123	2,193	624	575	1,391	1,486	8	4	13	13	2	5	51	91	18	4	16	15
		,			49.2%	50.8%	14.5%	13.3%	32.23%	34.4%	0.19%	0.09%	0.30%	0.30%	0.05%	0.12%	1.18%	2.11%	0.42%	0.09%	0.37%	0.35%

STATEWIDE WORKFORCE BY AGE

										Race								Ethi	nicity
AGE		TOTAL		White		African-American		American Indian & Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander		Balance (no race checked)		Multi	racial	Latino (anic or (no other hecked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
19 or under	26	18	8 31%	15 58%	7 27%	1 4%	1 4%	0	0%	3.85%	0	0%	0%	0	0	1 4%	0	0.00%	0.00%
20-29	4,773	1,994 42%	2,779 58%	1,372	1,094	533	1,497	3 0.06%	0.23%	24	47	2 0.04%	5 0.10%	34	40	0.21%	34 0.71%	0.34%	
30-39	9,352	3,720 40%	5,632	2,152	2,269	1,257	2,901	6 0.06%	0.12%	90	88	4 0.04%	7 0.07%	142	248	21	43	48	65
40-49	13,828	5,401 39%	8,427	3,016	3,508	1,952	4,293 31%	11	0.08%	73	105 0.76%	3 0.02%	0.08%	268 1.94%	370 2.68%	33	54 0.39%	45 0.33%	75 0.54%
50-59	15,239	5,610 37%	9,629 63%	3,317	4,876	1,829	4,158	0.08%	0.12%	81 0.53%	87 0.57%	0.01%	0.05%	299 1.96%	363 2.38%	25	27	45 0.30%	92
60-69	7,095	3,128 44%	3,967 56%	2,008	2,233	828	1,481 21%	8 0.11%	7 0.10%	53	42 0.59%	0.01%	0.06%	202	166 2.34%	9 0.13%	0.17%	19	
70 and over	833	460 55%	373 45%	298 36%	209	108	122	0	0.1%	9	5 0.6%	0	0.0%	43 5.2%	29 3.5%	0.1%	2	0.1%	5 0.6%
TOTAL	51,146	20,331 40%	30,815 60%	12,178 23.8%	14,196 27.8%	6,508 12.7%	14 ,453 28.3%	40 0.08%	59 0.12%	331 0.65%	374 0.73%	12 0.02%	35 0.07%	988 1.93%	1,216 2.38%	100 0.20%	1 72 0.34%	174 0.34%	310 0.61%

NOTE: The data include SPMS and Independent agencies, excluding MDOT and Towson University. No contractuals are included.

STATEWIDE WORKFORCE BY SERVICE TYPE

						Race													Ethnicity	
Service Type		TOTAL		Wi	nite	Afric Amei		Indi	rican an & Native	As	ian	Hawa Other	tive iian & Pacific nder		nce (no hecked)	Multiracial		Latii other	nnic or no (no r race cked)	
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Executive	950	570	380 40%	454 48%	248	87 9%	112	0	0	11	6 0.6%	0	0	8 0.84%	5 0.53%	6 0.63%	0.32%	0.42%	0.63%	
Independent	738	402 54%	336 46%	252 34%	240	65 9%	60	0	0	4 0.54%	2	2 0.27%	0	73 9.89%	23 3.12%	0.14%	0.54%	5	7 0.95%	
Management	1,832	937	895	704	580	165	245	1	0	16	17	2	2	42	34	3	8	4	9	
Professional	3,893	51% 807	3,086	38% 570	32% 2,109	9% 167	13% 787	2	0% 5	0.87%	0.93%	0.11%	0.11%	2.29%	1.86%	0.16%	0.44%	0.22%	0.49%	
Skilled Service	30,335	21% 11,978	79% 18,357	6,559	6,925	4,590	10,373	0.05%	0.13%	0.74%	1.54%	2	0.10%	489	2.16% 586	0.00%	92	0.28%	0.44%	
Special Appointment	3,968	39% 1,447	2,521	1,035	1,509	15% 262	785	0.09%	0.12%	0.55%	0.53%	0.01%	0.06%	1.61%	1.93%	0.20%	0.30%	0.28%	0.54%	
Designated Political	333	36% 118	215	26% 89	146	7% 19	20% 54	0.08%	0.13%	0.68%	0.86%	0%	0.10%	2.42%	3.40%	0.23%	0.48%	0.38%	0.76%	
Appointment MDOT	6	35% 5	65%	27%	44%	6%	16%	0%	0.30%	1.50%	0.90%	0%	0%	0.60%	2.40%	0%	1%	0.30%	0.30%	
Commission Permanent		83%	17%	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
MTA Union	2,693	1680 62%	1013 38%	369 14%	19	1238 46%	970 36%	0.11%	0.11%	0.30%	0%	0.19%	0.11%	1.30%	0.59%	0.41%	0.07%	0.41%	0%	
Uniform Police	1,438	1,343	95 7%	1119 78%	75 5%	180 13%	18	0	0%	0.42%	0.07%	0.07%	0%	0.97%	0	0 0%	0	23	0.07%	
Transportation Service	6,802	4,140	2,662	2,719	1,401	1,140	1,081	18	0.19%	134	62	0.16%	0.01%	32	0.19%	0.60%	46 0.68%	45 0.66%	0.66%	
TOTAL	52,988	23,427 44%	29,561 56%	13,875 26.2%	13,253 25.0%	7,913	14,485 27.3%	0.26% 54 0.10%	0.19% 64 0.12%	1.97% 407 0.77%	346 0.65%	0.16% 25 0.05%	0.01% 32 0.06%	815 1.54%	904 1.71%	0.60% 135 0.25%	0.68% 196 0.37%	203 0.38%	281 0.53%	

NOTE: The data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

SECTION FOUR

WORKFORCE UTILIZATION

SECTION 4: WORKFORCE UTILIZATION


Section four provides a narrative and statistical comparison of the State® workforce with the Civilian Labor Force (CLF) in the eight EEO job categories.

SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS

Trends displayed in the following charts are highlighted as follows:

- White males and White females represent a higher proportion of the CLF than State government
 ø
 workforce.
- Minorities hold 28% of the State positions classified as Officials and Administrators slightly higher than the CLF availability.
- Minorities experienced increases in 3 categories from FY 2006 to FY 2011, (Officials and Administrators, Protective Service Worker: Sworn and Non-Sworn). The largest increases in minority representation occurred in the categories of Protective Service Workers: Non-Sworn (483) and Officials and Administrators (237).
- African-Americans represent a higher proportion of the State
 ø
 workforce than the CLF.
- African-Americans in the State® workforce exceeded the CLF availability in four of the eight EEO sub-categories. Sworn Protective Service Workers is the only category where African-Americans are more prevalent in the CLF.
- African-American females in the State

 workforce far exceeded the CLF
 availability in the Administrative Support category, while African-American
 males far exceeded the CLF availability in the Service Maintenance category.
- Males categorized as White and Other minorities represent a higher share of State government sworn Protective Service Workers than in the CLF.
- The largest representation of females in FY 2011 was in the Professional job category (14,308).



STATEWIDE WORKFORCE UTILIZATION ANALYSIS — FISCAL YEAR 2011

					WHITE				AF	RICAN-	AMERICA	N			01	HER M	INORITIES	5		
EEO JOB CATEGO	ORY	MALE	State CLF	Index Under	FEMALE	State CLF	Index Under	MALE	State CLF	Index Under	FEMALE	State CLF	Index Under	MALE	State CLF	Index Under	FEMALE	State CLF	Index Under	TOTAL PERMANENT POSITIONS
Officials and Administrators	#	1,722	43.9%	I I	1,424	29.2%	I I	339	8.0%	 	545	10.9%		188	4.7%		153	3.4%		4,371
1 tullillisti ators	%	39.4%	1 1	-4.5%	32.58%	1 1	3.4%	7.8%	1	-0.2%	12.5%]	1.6%	4.30%	1	-0.4%	3.50%	I	0.13%	
Professionals	#	4,422	1 1 34%	 	7,263	35.5%	l 	2,117	7.2%	I 	6,084	12.6%		682	5.6%		961	5.1%		21,529
	%	20.5%	1	-13.5%	33.7%	l I	-1.8%	9.8%	ļ 	2.6%	28.3%		15.7%	3.2%	ļ 	-2%	4.5%	i [-0.6%	
Technicians	#	1,124	29%	 	807	33.3%	I I	379	9.7%	I I L	789	18.0%	<u> </u> 		4.4%		71	5.6%		3,273
	%	34.3%	l I	5%	24.7%	l	-8.6%	11.6%	1	1.9%	24.1%		6.1%	3.1%	1	-1.29%	2.2%	<u> </u>	-3.38%	
Protective Service Workers:	#	1,631	45.6%		126	7.8%		443	29.1%	! 	125	13.3%		113	3.2%	! !	10	0.9%		2,448
Sworn	%	66.6%	1 1	21%	5.1%] [-2.7%	18.1%		-11.0%	5.1%		-8.2%	4.6%	<u> </u>	1.45%	0.4%]]	-0.5%	
Protective Service Workers: Non-Sworn	#	2,853	1 1 25.4%	 	498	 44.2% 	 	2,326	10.2%	 	2,687	15.5%	! ! !	297	2.3%	! ! !	181	2.3%] 	8,842
Non-Sworn	%	32.3%		6.9%	6%	l	-38.6%	26%		16.1%	30%		14.5%	3.4%		1.1%	2.0%	l !	-0.23%	
Administrative Support	#	297	19.1%		2,666				8.3%		2,975				2.8%		360	5.3%		6,697
Support	%	4.4%	1	-14.7%	39.8%		-3.2%	5.1%		-3.2%	44.4%		23.0%	0.9%		-1.94%	5.4%	<u> </u>	0%	
Skilled Craft Workers	#	1,283	67.5%			3.7%	! !		16.7%	! ! !	20	1.9%	! !		9.3%			0.9%		1,979
***************************************	%	64.8%	! !	-2.7%	2.2%	l 	-1.5%	27.7%	 	11.0%	1.0%		-0.9%	3.9%	l 	-5.40%	0%	! !	-0.7%	
Service- Maintenance	#	543	1 130.5%	-16%		1 23.2701	-12.16%	1,420	18.7%	18.2%	1,260	14.3%	18.4%	3.0%	7.0%	1 1 -3.97%		10.5 /0	-4.1%	3,849
TOTALS	# %	13,875 26.2%	34.0%		13,253 25.0%	30.2%	-5.19%	7,913	11.6%		14,485 27.3%	14.2%		1,639 3.1%	5.3%	-2.19%	1,823	4.7%		52,988

2011 SUMMARY OF AGENCY WORKFORCE ANALYSIS

	W	hite		African-	American		Other M	Iinorities		TOTAL
AGENCY	Male	Female	TOTAL	Male	Female	TOTAL	Male	Female	TOTAL	Employees
Executive Department	28	27	55	5	15	20	2	4	6	81
Baltimore City Community College	51	60	111	100	168	268	11	9	20	399
Baltimore City Sheriff's Office	13	2	15	22	12	34	0	0	0	49
Board of Elections Laws	29	88	117	12	23	35	5	21	26	178
Board of Public Works	1	7	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	9
Boards and Commissions	12	47	59	5	22	27	1	6	7	93
Canal Place	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
College Savings Plan	1	5	6	0	2	2	2	4	6	14
Comptroller of the Treasury	225	352	577	81	318	399	29	46	75	1,051
Deaf and Hard of Hearing	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Dept of Aging	23	108	131	16	70	86	3	12	15	232
Dept of Agriculture	167	124	291	18	24	42	15	14	29	362
Dept of Assessment and Taxation	124	170	294	29	178	207	8	12	20	521
Dept Budget and Management	43	90	133	30	100	130	7	15	22	285
Dept Business and Economic Development	56	73	129	16	43	59	8	19	27	215
Dept of Disabilities	5	13	18	0	3	3	0	1	1	22
Dept of Education	216	545	761	97	411	508	19	35	54	1,323
Dept of Environment	368	276	644	73	84	157	52	33	85	886

2011 SUMMARY OF AGENCY WORKFORCE ANALYSIS

W	hite		African-	American		Other M	Iinorities		TOTAL
Male	Female	TOTAL	Male	Female	TOTAL	Male	Female	TOTAL	Employees
192	72	264	133	113	246	18	8	26	536
1,175	3,703	4,878	782	2,231	3,013	213	403	616	8,507
55	103	158	23	69	92	14	25	39	289
399	1,843	2,242	617	3067	3,684	59	202	261	6,187
340	308	648	436	752	1,188	67	98	165	2,001
441	402	843	188	506	694	50	75	125	1,662
599	367	966	53	57	110	74	25	99	1,175
1,472	323	1,795	219	104	323	64	18	82	2,200
58	55	113	3	16	19	7	9	16	148
3,172	1,189	4,361	2,233	3,388	5,621	308	266	574	10,556
3,491	1,644	5,135	2,456	2,156	4612	375	221	596	10,343
3	6	9	1	3	4	0	1	1	14
18	8	26	0	1	1	2	0	2	29
13	19	32	7	4	11	6	0	6	49
93	150	243	27	86	113	10	16	26	382
2	1	3	0	1	1	0	1	1	5
3	4	7	6	13	19	4	3	7	33
	Male 192 1,175 55 399 340 441 599 1,472 58 3,172 3,491 3 18 13 93	192 72 1,175 3,703 55 103 399 1,843 340 308 441 402 599 367 1,472 323 58 55 3,172 1,189 3,491 1,644 3 6 18 8 13 19 93 150 2 1	Male Female TOTAL 192 72 264 1,175 3,703 4,878 55 103 158 399 1,843 2,242 340 308 648 441 402 843 599 367 966 1,472 323 1,795 58 55 113 3,172 1,189 4,361 3,491 1,644 5,135 3 6 9 18 8 26 13 19 32 93 150 243 2 1 3	Male Female TOTAL Male 192 72 264 133 1,175 3,703 4,878 782 55 103 158 23 399 1,843 2,242 617 340 308 648 436 441 402 843 188 599 367 966 53 1,472 323 1,795 219 58 55 113 3 3,172 1,189 4,361 2,233 3,491 1,644 5,135 2,456 3 6 9 1 18 8 26 0 13 19 32 7 93 150 243 27 2 1 3 0	Male Female TOTAL Male Female 192 72 264 133 113 1,175 3,703 4,878 782 2,231 55 103 158 23 69 399 1,843 2,242 617 3067 340 308 648 436 752 441 402 843 188 506 599 367 966 53 57 1,472 323 1,795 219 104 58 55 113 3 16 3,172 1,189 4,361 2,233 3,388 3,491 1,644 5,135 2,456 2,156 3 6 9 1 3 18 8 26 0 1 13 19 32 7 4 93 150 243 27 86 2 1	Male Female TOTAL Male Female TOTAL 192 72 264 133 113 246 1,175 3,703 4,878 782 2,231 3,013 55 103 158 23 69 92 399 1,843 2,242 617 3067 3,684 340 308 648 436 752 1,188 441 402 843 188 506 694 599 367 966 53 57 110 1,472 323 1,795 219 104 323 58 55 113 3 16 19 3,491 1,644 5,135 2,456 2,156 4612 3 6 9 1 3 4 18 8 26 0 1 1 13 19 32 7 4 11	Male Female TOTAL Male Female TOTAL Male 192 72 264 133 113 246 18 1,175 3,703 4,878 782 2,231 3,013 213 55 103 158 23 69 92 14 399 1,843 2,242 617 3067 3,684 59 340 308 648 436 752 1,188 67 441 402 843 188 506 694 50 599 367 966 53 57 110 74 1,472 323 1,795 219 104 323 64 58 55 113 3 16 19 7 3,172 1,189 4,361 2,233 3,388 5,621 308 3,491 1,644 5,135 2,456 2,156 4612 375	Male Female TOTAL Male Female TOTAL Male Female 192 72 264 133 113 246 18 8 1,175 3,703 4,878 782 2,231 3,013 213 403 55 103 158 23 69 92 14 25 399 1,843 2,242 617 3067 3,684 59 202 340 308 648 436 752 1,188 67 98 441 402 843 188 506 694 50 75 599 367 966 53 57 110 74 25 1,472 323 1,795 219 104 323 64 18 58 55 113 3 16 19 7 9 3,491 1,644 5,135 2,456 2,156 4612 375	Male Female TOTAL Male Female TOTAL Male Female TOTAL Male Female TOTAL 192 72 264 133 113 246 18 8 26 1,175 3,703 4,878 782 2,231 3,013 213 403 616 55 103 158 23 69 92 14 25 39 399 1,843 2,242 617 3067 3,684 59 202 261 340 308 648 436 752 1,188 67 98 165 441 402 843 188 506 694 50 75 125 599 367 966 53 57 110 74 25 99 1,472 323 1,795 219 104 323 64 18 82 58 55 113 3

2011 SUMMARY OF AGENCY WORKFORCE ANALYSIS

	W	hite			American		Other M	Iinorities		TOTAL
AGENCY	Male	Female	TOTAL	Male	Female	TOTAL	Male	Female	TOTAL	Employees
MD Energy Administration	15	9	24	1	1	2	1	2	3	29
MD Environmental Services	454	156	610	113	35	148	26	10	36	794
MD Food Center Authority	8	8	16	6	1	7	1	1	2	25
MD Health Insurance Plan	5	3	8	0	3	3	0	0	0	11
MD Higher Education Commission	9	20	29	3	5	8	0	3	3	40
MD Institute for Emer Medical Servs Systems	35	28	63	4	11	15	5	4	9	87
MD Insurance Administration	59	92	151	21	67	88	5	4	9	248
MD Judiciary	651	1,432	2,083	211	962	1,173	74	279	353	3,609
MD Museum of African American History & Culture	0	0	0	7	16	23	0	1	1	24
MD State Lottery Agency	66	31	97	34	43	77	6	8	14	188
MD Public Television	56	39	95	10	9	19	12	11	23	137
MD State Retirement Agency	35	40	75	17	66	83	8	8	16	174
MD School for the Deaf	75	198	273	16	15	31	6	13	19	323
MD Stadium Authority	37	15	52	17	11	28	2	1	3	83
MD Tax Court	5	2	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	8
MD State Treasurer's Office	15	30	45	0	8	8	1	0	1	54
MD Teachers/Employee Supplemental Retirement	3	7	10	0	3	3	0	1	1	14
MD Veteran's Affairs	26	12	38	16	7	23	9	3	12	73
Military Department	151	48	199	72	28	100	15	5	20	319

2011 SUMMARY OF AGENCY WORKFORCE ANALYSIS

_	WI	nite			American		Other M	linorities		TOTAL
AGENCY	Male	Female	TOTAL	Male	Female	TOTAL	Male	Female	TOTAL	Employees
Morgan State University	96	57	153	364	424	788	67	28	95	1036
Office of Administrative Hearings	31	37	68	5	25	30	3	15	18	116
Office of Attorney General	72	99	171	12	28	40	4	7	11	222
Office of Information Technology	30	31	61	5	12	17	9	6	15	93
Office of People's Counsel	4	6	10	0	4	4	2	2	4	18
Office of Public Defender	204	272	476	49	164	213	54	88	142	831
Office of the Secretary	8	9	17	1	4	5	1	1	2	24
Office of State Prosecutor	5	4	9	0	1	1	1	1	2	12
Property Tax Assessment Appeals Board	20	12	32	5	8	13	50	10	60	105
Public School Construction Program	4	4	8	4	3	7	0	1	1	16
Public Service Commission	35	31	66	11	17	28	16	19	35	129
St. Mary's College of Maryland	155	155	310	30	29	59	14	11	25	394
Subsequent Injury Fund	7	10	17	0	3	3	0	0	0	20
Uninsured Employer's Fund	3	2	5	1	1	2	2	2	4	11
University of Maryland Systems	10,010	10,745	20,755	2,553	4,268	6,821	4,935	4,367	9,302	36,878
Worker's Compensation Commission	15	25	40	7	49	56	3	13	16	112
TOTAL-Maryland State Work Force	25,292 26.3%	25,858 26.9%	51,150 53.2%	11,284 11.7%	20,371 21.2%	31,655 32.9%	6,766 7.0%	6,527 6.8%	13,293 13.8%	96,098
TOTAL - Civilian Labor Force	997,070 34.0%				416,424 14.2%	756,601 25.8%	155,426 5.3%	137,830 4.7%	293,256 10%	2,932,560

SECTION FIVE

DISTRIBUTION OF STATE EMPLOYEE WORKFORCE BY SALARY AND GRADE AND BY RACE AND GENDER

SECTION 5: DISTRIBUTION OF STATE EMPLOYEE WORKFORCE BY SALARY AND GRADE AND BY RACE AND GENDER

INTRODUCTION

Section five presents the salary and grade of employees by race and gender. This section includes a comparison of salary and grade information for Fiscal Years 2006 through 2011.

SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS


Trends displayed in the following charts include:

- Male employees were paid an average of \$5,764 more than female employees in fiscal 2011.
- The salary gap between men and women of the same race dropped from 2006 to 2011. Salaries of white men exceeded those of white women by \$5,217 in 2011, an improvement over the \$6,757 gap in 2006. Similarly, the gap between African American males and females fell from \$3,195 in 2006 to \$2,309 in 2011.
- White employees were paid an average of \$52,770 in fiscal 2011 compared to \$45,166 for African-American employees, and \$53,069 for Other minority employees.
- The salary differentials between men and women and Whites and African-Americans are related to differences in the types of positions held. Whites (77%) and men (62%) are far more likely than African-Americans (16%) and women (38%) to hold positions in the Executive Pay Plan and positions classified as Grade 24 and above. African-Americans, in contrast, hold a majority of the positions classified at Grade 8 or below. Females hold more than 70% of positions classified at Grade 11 and below.

COMPARISON OF AVERAGE SALARIES BY RACE AND


GENDER FOR FY 2006 AND FY 2011

YEAR	AVERAGE SALARY	Wh	iite	African A	merican	Other M	inorities
		MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
FY 2006	\$45,071	\$51,875	\$45,118	\$42,741	\$39,546	\$47,874	\$42,880
FY 2011	\$49,359	\$55,379	\$50,162	\$46,321	\$44,012	\$57,952	\$48,185




COMPARISON OF AVERAGE SALARIES BY RACE FOR FY 2006 AND FY 2011

	Average			
Year	Salary	White	African American	Other Minorities
FY 2006	\$45,071	\$48,496	\$41,143	\$45,377
FY 2011	\$49,359	\$52,770	\$45,166	\$53,069



COMPARISON OF AVERAGE SALARIES BY GENDER FOR FY 2006 AND FY 2011

Year	Average Salary	Men	Women
FY 2006	\$45,071	\$47,496	\$42,514
FY 2011	\$49,359	\$53,217	\$47,453



WORKFORCE BY SALARY, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Race	!							Ethn	nicity
Salary		TOTAL		Wł	nite	African-	American	Ame India Alaska	an &	As	ian	Native H & Other Islan	r Pacific	Balance chec		Multi	racial	_	nnic or (no other necked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
\$10,000 or Less	12	10	2	9	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		83%	17%	75%	17%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$10,001 - \$20,000	7	0	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
\$20,000		0%	100%	0%	86%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%
\$20,001 - \$30,000	2,880	1,112	1,768	521	706	499	946	3	12	41	23	2	2	23	33	9	12	14	34
		39%	61%	18%	25%	17%	33%	0.1%	0.4%	1.4%	0.8%	0.1%	0.1%	0.8%	1.1%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	1.2%
\$30,001 - \$40,000	11,218	4,026	7,192	2,159	2,761	1,663	4,001	12	16	68	77	5	9	58	169	28	61	33	98
4.0,000		36%	64%	19%	25%	15%	36%	0.1%	0.1%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	1.5%	0.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.9%
\$40,001 - \$50,000	14,021	5,728	8,293	3,347	3,300	2,058	4,546	14	15	54	59	2	10	176	246	29	52	48	65
φεσ,σσσ		41%	59%	24%	24%	15%	32%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.01%	0.07%	1.3%	1.8%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%
\$50,001 - \$60,000	9,557	4,211	5,346	2,664	2,722	1,244	2,290	10	13	78	88	7	2	136	162	28	33	44	36
\$00,000		44%	56%	28%	28%	13%	24%	0.10%	0.1%	0.8%	0.9%	0.1%	0%	1.4%	1.7%	0.3%	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%
\$60,001 and Over	12,222	6,468	5,754	4,708	3,630	1,184	1,673	12	4	155	99	4	6	327	260	30	36	48	46
Over		53%	47%	39%	30%	10%	14%	0.1%	0.03%	1.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.05%	2.7%	2.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
Hourly/ Daily	3,071	1,872	1,199	467	126	1,264	1,029	3	4	11	0	5	3	95	34	11	2	16	1
Dany		61%	39%	15%	4%	41%	34%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	3.1%	1.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
TOTAL	52,988	23,427	29,561	13,875	13,253	7,913	14,485	54	64	407	346	25	32	815	904	135	196	203	281
		44%	56%	26%	25%	15%	27%	0.10%	0.12%	0.77%	0.65%	0.05%	0.06%	1.54%	1.71%	0.25%	0.37%	0.38%	0.53%

NOTE: Data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

WORKFORCE BY GRADE, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Race	2							Ethi	nicity
Grade		TOTAL		Wh	iite	African-	American	Indi	rican an & Native	As	sian	& Oth	Hawaiian er Pacific ander		(no race ked)	Multi	racial	Latino	anic or (no other hecked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Flat/Slope	575	324	251	231	158		84		0	9	2		_		0	2	6	3	1
Grade 5 (\$20,946 - \$32,282)	577	242 42%	335 58%	87 15%	148 26%	13% 129 22%	15% 153 27%	2 0.35%	0% 1 0.17%	10	12 2.08%		0% 0 0.00%	5 0.87%	13	3	1% 1 0.17%	1% 6 1.04%	7
Grade 6 (\$22,192 - \$34,319)	579	356 61%	223 39%	165 28%	76 13%	175	134	0.00%	0.00%	0.35%	4 0.69%	2 0.35%	0.00%	6	3 0.52%	4 0.69%	0.17%	2 0.35%	5 0.86%
Grade 7 (\$23,524- \$36,506)	1,199	351 29%	848 71%	142	296 25%	181	511 43%	0.00%	3 0.25%	9 0.75%	7 0.58%	0.00%	0.08%	16	20	0.17%	0.17%	0.08%	0.67%
Grade 8 (\$24,951 -	1,429	374	1,055	158	380		614	_	5	8	10			3	21	2	6	7	17
\$38,838) Grade 9 (\$26,477 -	1,737	339	74% 1,398	11%	^{27%}	14%	43% 754	0.21%	0.35%	0.56%	0.70%	0.00%	0.14%	0.21%	1.47%	0.14%	0.42%	0.49%	1.19%
\$41,019)	1,737	20%	80%	11%	33%	7%	43%	0.12%	0.23%	0.46%	0.46%	0.0%	0.06%	0.35%	2.30%	0.1%	0.35%	0.35%	1.04%
Grade 10 (\$28,109- \$43,671)	2,853	936	1,917	543	909	324	883	0.18%	0.18%	1.23%	0.77%	0.11%	0.14%	0.21%	1.19%	0.39%	0.77%	9 0.32%	1.33%
Grade 11 (\$29,855 -	3,118	725	2,393	366	874	329	1,398		6	6			3	18	59	3	16	2	22
\$46,516) Grade 12 (\$31,724 -	3,924	1,571	2,353	852	956	-	1,273		0.19%	0.19%	0.48%	0.00%	0.10%	0.58%		0.10%	0.51%	0.06%	0.71%
\$49,599) Grade 13 (\$33,723-	6,710	3,439	3,271	1,960	953	1,338	2,166	0.08%	0.23%	0.33%	0.36%	0.08%	0.08%	90	1.58%	0.33%	0.33%	0.48%	0.59%
\$52,915) Grade 14	-, -	51%	49%	29%	14%	20%	32%	0.06%	0.06%	0.34%	0.27%	0.00%	0.06%	1.34%	1.30%	0.13%	0.24%	0.22%	0.34%
(\$35,865 - \$56,469)	4,114	1,700 41%	2,414 59%	946	903	638	1,361	0.05%	0.07%	0.41%	0.61%	0.02%	0.02%	75 1.82%	1.90%	0.27%	0.53%	0.24%	0.51%
Grade 15 (\$38,153 - \$60,255)	2,881	1,025	1,856	660	934	285	829	0.14%	0.10%	19	0.83%	0.03%	0.00%	32	48	0.49%	7 0.24%	10	0.38%

WORKFORCE BY GRADE, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2011 (Continued)

			J			,	ACE AI			Race			IX 2011					Ethi	nicity
Grade		TOTAL		Wł	nite	African-	-American	Indi	rican an & Native	As	ian	& Othe	Hawaiian er Pacific ander	Balance chec	(no race ked)	Multi	racial	Latino	anic or (no other hecked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Grade 16 (\$40,291- \$64,317)	4,978	1,810	3,168 64%	1,040	1,673	626 13%	1,290 26%	0.08%	0.14%	36 0.72%	0.86%	0.02%	0.04%	72	107 2.15%	0.20%	0.46%	0.42%	0.46%
Grade 17 (\$42,891 - \$68,664)	3,070	1,278 42%	1,792	777 25%	951 31%	385	722 24%	0.13%	0.07%	45 1.47%	31	0.07%	0.07%	46 1.50%	59 1.92%	6 0.20%	12 0.39%	13	0.42%
Grade 18 (\$45,675 - \$73,300)	2,208	1,080	1,128	748 34%	709 32%	230	319	0.09%	2	40	35	0.00%	2 0.09%	41	49	5 0.23%	6	14	6 0.27%
Grade 19 (\$48,691- \$78,173)	1,491	752 50%	739	545 37%	490	131	197	0.03%	3	27	10	0.07%	0.00%	41	26	2 0.13%	5 0.34%	3	0.54%
Grade 20 (\$51,940 - \$83,395)	1,058	580 55%	478 45%	438	311	93	122	0.13%	0.20%	16	15	0.07%	0.00%	25	18	0.13%	7	2 0.19%	0.34%
Grade 21 (\$55,419 - \$88,976)	982	536 55%	446 45%	417	301	65	98	0.19%	0.00%	1.73%	7 0.71%	0.00%	0.00%	28	33	0.38%	0.00%	0.19%	0.38%
Grade 22 (\$59,140-	1,010	510	500	400	350	65	98	1	0	5	9	0	0	36	31	2	7	1	5
\$94,961) Grade 23 (\$63,122 -	388	50% 211	50% 177	170	35% 127	30	30	0.00%	5.00%	9.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.56%	3.07%	0.20%	0.69%	0.10%	0.50%
\$101,357 Grade 24	404	54% 220	46% 184	44% 174	33% 129	33	38	0.00%	0.26%	0.52%	0.77%	0.00%	0.00%	1.80%	3.09%	0.52%	0.26%	0.00%	0.77%
(\$67,382 - \$108,193)	404	54%	46%	43%	32%	8%	9%	0.25%	0.00%	0.74%	0.25%	0.00%	0.00%	1.98%	3.71%	0.25%	0.25%	0.00%	0.00%
Grade 25 (\$71,942- \$115,505)	112	64 57%	48	50	37	6	10	0.00%	0.00%	2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.68%	0.00%	0.89%	0.00%	2	0.89%
Grade 26 (\$76,741-	93	56	37	54	28	1	8	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$123,344) Hourly/ Daily	3,071	1,872	40% 1,199	467	126	1,264	1,029	0.00%	0.00%	1.08%	1.08%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
MRT*	4,427	3,076	39% 1,351	2,300	867	41% 556	34%	0.10%	0.13%	0.36%	0.00%	0.16%	0.10%	3.09%	1.11%	0.36%	0.07%	0.52%	0.03%
111111	ŕ	69%	31%	52%	20%	13%	8%	0.14%	0.05%	0.97%	0.68%	0.11%	0.07%	2.67%	1.24%	0.25%	0.32%	0.84%	0.36%
TOTAL	52,988	23,427 44%	29,561 56%	13,875 26%	13,253 25%	7,913	14,485 27%	54 0.10%	0.12%	407 0.77%	0.65%	25 0.05%	32 0.06%	815 1.54%	904 1.71%	0.25%	0.37%	0.38%	281 0.53%

^{*}MRT (Merit Rate Table) ô Includes State Police, Physicians, Emergency Police, DNR Police, Executive Pay Plan, and MDOT employees, these employees are not compensated within the regular salary grades.

NOTE: Data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

EXECUTIVE PAY PLAN WORKFORCE BY SALARY, GRADE, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Race	!							Ethr	nicity
EPP Scale		TOTAL		Wh	ite	African-A	American	Amer Indian & Nat	Alaska	Asi		Hawa Other	tive iian & Pacific nder	Balance check		Multi	racial	-	nic or (no other necked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
ES 4 — (\$73,185- \$97,580)	2	2	0%	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ES 5 — (\$78,631- \$104,900)	17	12 71%	5 29%	10	4 24%	1 6%	1 6%	0	0	1 6%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ES 6 — (\$84,518- \$112,806)	40	18	22	11	20		1 3%	0	0	1 3%	0	0	0	2	1 3%	0	0	1 3%	0
ES 7 — (\$90,873- \$121,348)	23	13 57%	10 43%	11	6 26%		4	0	0	0	0%		0	1 4%	0	0	0	0	0
ES 8 — (\$97,736- \$130,573)	26	19 73%	7 27%	15	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 4%	1 4%	0	0	0
ES 9 — (\$105,151- \$140,537)	20	13	7	8	4 20%	3 15%	3	0	0	1 5%	0%	0	0	1 5%	0	0	0	0%	0
ES 10 — (\$113,156- \$151,293)	13	8 62%	5	7 54%	3 23%	1 8%	2	0	0	0%	0%	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0%	0
ES 11 — (\$121,806- \$162,914)	13	9	4 31%	8	23%	0%	1 8%	0	0	0%	0%		0%	O 0%	0%	0%	0	1 8%	0%
EX 91 — (\$140,076- \$235,127)	3	3	0	3 100%	0		0	0	0	0	0		0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0
TOTAL	157	97	60 38%	75 47.8%	45 28.7%	12 7.6%	13 8.3%	0%	0%	3	0%	0%	0%	2.5%	2	1%	0%	2	0%

SECTION SIX

STATE PERSONNEL

TRANSACTIONS

SECTION 6: PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS

INTRODUCTION

The data provided in this section depicts the personnel transactions processed by the Office of Personnel Services and Benefits in Fiscal Years 2006 and 2011. This information also reflects the type of transaction by race and gender.

SUMMARY HIGHLIGHTS

Trends displayed in the following charts are highlighted as follows:

- The total number of applicants appointed to vacant positions in FY 2011 was 4,270. Of this number, 53% were females and 52% were minorities.
- Females ó who represent 56% of the workforce ó received 54% of all promotions in fiscal 2011 and African-Americans who represent 42% of the workforce received 47% of all promotions.
- Females accounted for 60% of reclassifications in fiscal 2011, while African-Americans accounted for 36% of the reclassifications.
- The total number of demotions in FY 2011 was 237. This represented a decrease of 84 actions from FY 2006. During FY 2011, white males accounted for the largest share of demotion actions, 29%.
- The total number of suspensions for FY 2011 was 782, 33 more actions than in FY 2006. Minorities accounted for approximately 69% of suspension actions in FY 2011.
- There were 268 terminations made in FY 2011. Females constituted 57% of these actions, and 44% were minority females.

STATEWIDE PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Race		_						Ethn	icity
Type of Transaction		TOTAL		Wł	nite	African-A	American	Amer India Alas ka	ın &	Asi	an	Native H & O Pacific	ther	Balance check		Multi	racial	His pa Latino (race ch	
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Appointments	4,270	2,026	2,244	1,076				2		69	64	3	2	26		19		22	
Doinetete monte	428	47% 157	53% 271	25.2% 78	22.4%	18.9% 72	26.3%	0.05%	0.30%	1.6%	1.5%	0.07%	0.05%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.8%	0.52%	0.82%
Reinstatements	428	157 37%	63%	78 18.2%	83 19.9%	16.8%	173 40.4%	0.2%		ے 0.5%	د 0.7%	0%	د 0.7%	1 0%	0.2%	0.7%		0.0%	0.2%
Promotions	3,377	1,562	1,815	918	709	558	1,026	4	2	28	22	2	3	29	10	11	24	12	19
		46%	54%	27.2%	21.0%	16.5%	30.4%	0.12%	0.06%	0.8%	0.7%	0.1%	0.1%	0.9%	0.3%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%
Reclassifications	2,286	910 40%	1,376	595 26.0%	718 31.4%	255 11.2%	568 24.8%	3 0.13%		27 1.2%	28 1.2%	3 0.1%	3 0.1%	8 0.3%	22 1.0%	3 0.1%	ستسسسم	16 0.7%	
Demotions	237	109	128	70	64			0.13%	0	1.270	1.270	0.170	0.176	0.3%	1.0%	2	2	0.778	2
		46%	54%	29.5%	27.0%	15.2%	24.1%	0%		0.4%	0.4%	0%	0%	0.0%	0.4%	1%		0.0%	0.8%
Suspensions	782	328	454	113		195		1		2	8	2		12		2	4	1	9
TOTAL	11,380	42% 5,092 45%	58% 6,288 55%	14.5% 2,850 25%	16.8% 2,662 23%	24.9% 1,925 17%	37.1% 3,238 28%	0% 11 0.1%		0.3% 129 1.1%	1.0% 126 1.1%	0% 10 0.09%	0% 12 0.1%	1.5% 76 0.7%	1.5% 63 0.6%	0% 40 0.4%	82	0.1% 51 0.4%	84

STATEWIDE SEPARATIONS AND TERMINATIONS — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Race								Ethn	icity
Type of Separations and Terminations		TOTAL		Wi	nite	African-A	American	Ame India Alas ka	ın &	Asi	an	& O	Iawaiian ther Is lander	Balance	(no race ked)	Multi	racial	-	nic or no other ecked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Fem ale	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Resignations	2,268	912	1,356	523	641	322	608	1	4	24	32	2	3	23	37	5	12	12	19
		40%	60%	23.1%	28.3%	14.2%	26.8%	0.0%	0.2%	1.1%	1.4%	0.09%	0%	1.0%	1.6%	0%	0.5%	0.5%	
Rejections on	147	54	93	21	30	30	59	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1
Probation		37%	63%	14.3%	20.4%	20.4%	40.1%	0%	1%	0.0%	0.0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0%	1%	1.4%	1%	0.7%
Retired	2,517	1,062	1,455	720	767	284	623	2	1	7	6	0	2	39	47	2	6	8	3
		42%	58%	28.6%	30.5%	11.3%	24.8%	0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0%	0%	1.5%	1.9%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Terminations	268	115	153	42	35	63	103	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	10	1	2	0	3
		43%	57%	15.7%	13.1%	23.5%	38.4%	0%	0%	0.7%	0.0%	0%	0%	2.6%	3.7%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	1.1%
TOTAL	5,200	2,143	3,057	1,306						33		•							
		41%	59%	25%	28%	13%	27%	0.1%	0.1%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	1.3%	1.8%	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%

NOTE: Data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

TYPE OF TRANSACTIONS	YEAR	WHIT	TE .	AFRICAN-A	MERICAN	OTHER MIN	ORITIES	TOTA	<u>AL</u>	Grand
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male Fo	emale	Male	Female	Total
Appointments	2006	973	1,144	742	1,634	435	727	2,150	3,505	5,655
		17%	20%	13%	29%	8%	13%	38%	62%	
	2011	1,076	955	809	1,124	141	165	2,026	2,244	4,270
		25%	22%	19%	26%	3%	4%	47%	53%	
Reinstatements	2006	64	124	87	180	45	69	196	373	569
		11%	22%	15%	32%	8%	12%	34%	66%	
	2011	78	85	72	173	7	13	157	271	428
		18%	20%	17%	40%	2%	3%	37%	63%	
romotions	2006	753	658	521	1,023	348	330	1,622	2,011	3,633
		21%	18%	14%	28%	10%	9%	45%	55%	
	2011	918	709	558	1,026	86	80	1,562	1,815	3,377
		27%	21%	17%	30%	3%	2%	46%	54%	
Reclassifications	2006	882	972	305	630	140	172	1,327	1,774	3,101
		28%	31%	10%	20%	5%	6%	43%	57%	
	2011	595	718	255	568	60	90	910	1,376	2,286
		26%	31%	11%	25%	3%	4%	40%	60%	
emotions	2006	70	94	19	73	24	41	113	208	321
		22%	29%	6%	23%	7%	13%	35%	65%	
	2011	70	64	36	57	3	7	109	128	237
		30%	27%	15%	24%	1%	3%	46%	54%	
uspensions	2006	119	112	203	260	31	24	353	396	749
		16%	15%	27%	35%	4%	3%	47%	53%	
	2011	113	131	195	290	20	33	328	454	782
		14%	17%	25%	37%	3%	4%	42%	58%	
Resignations	2006	619	954	480	1,030	204	303	1,303	2,287	3,590
		17%	27%	13%	29%	6%	8%	36%	64%	
	2011	523	641	322	608	67	107	912	1,356	2,268
		23%	28%	14%	27%	3%	5%	40%	60%	
erminations	2006	38	48	65	92	19	11	122	151	273
		14%	18%	24%	34%	7%	4%	45%	55%	
	2011	42	35	63	103	10	15	115	153	268
		16%	13%	24%	38%	4%	6%	43%	57%	

TYPE OF TRANSACTIONS	YEAR	WHIT	E	AFRICAN-A	MERICAN	OTHER MIN	ORITIES	TOT A	AL	Grand
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male Fo	emale	Male	Female	Total
Rejections on Probation	2006	23	34	54	118	23	21	100	173	273
		8%	12%	20%	43%	8%	8%	37%	63%	
	2011	21	30	30	59	3	4	54	93	147
		14%	20%	20%	40%	2%	3%	37%	63%	
	2006	3,541	4,140	2,476	5,040	1,269	1,698	7,286	10,878	
TOTAL		19%	23%	14%	28%	7%	9%	40%	60%	18,164
	2011	3,436	3,368	2,340	4,008	397	514	6,173	7,890	
	<u> </u>	24%	24%	17%	29%	3%	4%	44%	56%	14,063
		N	o Compa	rative Da	ta Availa	ble				
TYPE OF TRANSACTIONS	YEAR	WHIT	E	AFRICAN-A	MERICAN	OTHER MIN	ORITIES	TOTA	AL	Grand
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male Fo	emale	Male	Female	Total
ransfers	2011	182	72	64	67	35	7	281	146	427
		43%	17%	15%	16%	8%	2%	66%	34%	
Iorizontal Transfers	2011	19	22	9	24	1	3	29	49	78
		24%	28%	12%	31%	1%	4%	37%	63%	
Disciplinary Demotion	2011	6	4	6	8	1	0	13	12	25
		24%	16%	24%	32%	4%	0%	52%	48%	
Forfeiture of Annual Leave	2011	86	42	93	114	10	10	189	166	355
		24%	12%	26%	32%	3%	3%	53%	47%	
Deaths	2011	27	9	14	20	0	5	41	34	75
		36%	12%	19%	27%	0%	7%	55%	45%	
Retired	2011	720	767	284	623	58	65	1062	1455	2,517
	2011	29%	30%	11%	2%	2%	3%	42%	58%	10
Transfer U of MD System	2011	5	6	2	6	0	0	7	12	19
ACIES X	2011	26%	32%	11%	32%	0%	0%	37%	63%	4
Military Leave	2011	1	0	2	1 250/	0	0	3	1 250/	4
avoffs from Allogated Desition	2011	25% 1	0% 6	50% 0	25% 1	0% 0	0% 0	75% 1	25% 7	8
ayoffs from Allocated Position	2011	13%	75%	0%	13%	0%	0%	13%	88%	o
and of Employment No Vacancy	2011	7	26	2	19	1	3	10	48	58
na or Employment No vacancy	2011	12%	45%	3%	33%	2%	<i>5</i> %	17%	83%	30
	1 1									
TOTAL	2011	1,054	954	476	883	106	93	1,636	1,930	
		30%	27%	13%	25%	3%	3%	46%	54%	3.566

SEPARATIONS AND TERMINATIONS BY AGE, RACE AND GENDER — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Race	,							Ethn	icity
AGE	Т	OTAL		W	hite	African-A	American	Ameri Indian & Nativ	Alaska	Asi	an	Native H & Other Islar	r Pacific	Balance chec	•	Multi	racial	Hispa Latino (
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
RESIGNA	ATIONS																		
19 OR						I		Į.		J					I .				
LESS	6	4	2	2	1	2		0	0	0	0			0		0	0		
20 - 29	573	219	354	132		71		0	0	8				3	_	0		4	-
30 - 39	676	252	424	147	193	85	188	1	2	6	15	0	3	7	14	3	4	3	5
40 - 49	525	205	320	104				01	0	6								_	-
50 - 59	335	151	184	90		50	72	0	1	2								_	
60 - 69	140	73	67	461		22		0ı	1	1									
70 PLUS	13	8	_	2		3		0	0	1	0	-						V	
TOTAL	2,268	912	1,356	523	641	322	608	1	4	24	32	2	3	23	37	5	12	12	19
	ONS ON P	ROBAT	ION																
19 OR				ı		I						İ			I				
LESS	2	1	1	1	0			0	0	0	0	·		0		0	·	Ü	
20 - 29	50	17	33	71				0ı	1	0		-	-				0		-
30 - 39	37	12	25	1	/	10			0	0		-					1	0	
40 - 49	30	9	21	3				0	0	0			-	0				0	
50 - 59	25	14	11	9	Ü	4		0	0	0	-	-		Ü		Ů			Ü
60 - 69	3	1	2	0					0	0								Ü	
70 PLUS	0	0	0	01					0	0								0	
TOTAL	147	54	93	21	30	30	59	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1
TERMIN	ATIONS																-		
19 OR				Ī		Ī		i				į	ļ		Ī				
LESS	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20 - 29	60	25	35	7	8	17	25	01	0	11	0	-		0	0	0	1	0	1
30 - 39	62	26	36	6	4	18		0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	1
40 - 49	64	26	38	11		14		0	0	0	0				•	0	0	Ŭ,	
50 - 59	64	28	36	11	-			0	0	1		-		_				V	1
60 - 69	15	8	7	5		2	3	0	0	0	0				2			Ü	0
70 PLUS	2	1	1	1	-				0	0						0		0	-
TOTAL	268	115	153	42	35	63	103	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	10	1	2	0	3
GRAND TOTAL	2,683	1,081	1,602	586	706	415	770	1	5	26	32	2	3	30	47	7	16	14	23

NOTE: Data include SPMS and MDOT full-time and part-time employees; contractuals are not included.

SECTION SEVEN

REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS

SECTION 7: REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS

In fiscal year 2011 there were 155 requests for reasonable accommodations from State employees and applicants for State employment. Of this amount, 110 or 71% of the requests were granted. There were 22 agencies that reported data on reasonable accommodation requests, with the Department of Education, Department of Human Resources, MDOT, Maryland Automobile Insurance Fund, and Department of Health and Mental Hygiene accounting for 104 or 67% of the total employee/applicant reasonable accommodation requests reported.

STATEWIDE REQUESTS FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION — FISCAL YEAR 2011

Agency	Applicants	Employees		STATUS	
Tage ney	rippiculits	Employees	Granted	Denied	Pending
Archives		3	3		
Assessment and Taxation		3			3
Attorney General		2			2
Automobile Insurance Fund		17	17		
BCCC		1	1		
Budget and Management		2	1	1	
Comptroller		2	2		
Education		27	23	1	3
Environment		2	1	1	
Health and Mental Hygiene		13	12		1
Housing and Community Development		1	1		
Human Resources		10	10		
Juvenile Services	2	9	8	3	
MIEMSS		1		1	
Military		3	3		
Natural Resources		2	1	1	
Public Defenders Office		4	4		
Public Safety and Correctional Services		5	5		
State Police		4	3	1	
Subsequent Injury Fund		1	1		
Transportation		37	12	22	3
WCC		4	2	2	
TOTAL	2	153	110	33	12

NOTE: All of the agencies Statewide reported. These are the only agencies reporting reasonable accommodation requests.

SECTION EIGHT

STATE

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

COMPLAINTS

SECTION 8: STATEWIDE EEO COMPLAINTS

- The total number of internal and external complaints statewide for fiscal 2011 was 445. Of these, 260 were internal complaints and 185 were external complaints.
- The primary basis for internal complaints was Race and Sex/Gender.
- The number of statewide discrimination complaints has increased from 402 in fiscal 2006 to 445 in fiscal 2011.
- The Office of the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator processed a total of 6 EEO appeals in fiscal 2011.
- The Office investigated 12 Whistleblower complaints. All twelve were found to have ono probable causeo.

NOTE: Internal complaints are those filed at the agency level, usually with a State agency Fair Practices Officer or Equal Employment Opportunity Officer.

External complaints are those filed with the Maryland Commission on Human Relations or Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

Other ocomplaints include employment related complaints, not discriminatory in basis.


STATEWIDE DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS BY AGENCY, BASIS, ISSUE AND DISPOSITION — FISCAL YEAR 2011


STATEWIDE DISCRI	39.66		COIV							Basi		SUE 2		È			Issue		و ا			ositto	n*		
Agency	Agency Employ	External	Internal	Other	ADA	Age	Ancestry	Color	Disability	National Origi	Race	Religious Affiliation	Sex/Gender	Gender Identit	Sexua Orientation	-1-3	Sexua Harassment	5	Probable Caus	No Cause	Administrativ Closure	Mediation/ Settled/Resolve	Open/Pending	Other	Withdrawn
Agriculture	362	1	0											:			 					{			
Assessment and Taxation	521	0	10	8				[1	1	1	<u> </u>				. [6	1	3]		
Attorney General	222	0	1	1												1				1					
Automobile Insurance Fund	382	0 3	2	2												L		2		2			}		
BCCC	399	3	2									2				2	!		<u> </u>			2			1
Budget and Management	285	0	2								2					<u> </u>		1	ļ		1	1]		
Comptroller of Maryland	1,051	0	متسسمة	3							1		2			1	. 1	l l	1	4					· 1
Education	1,323	13		 		1		{i	2		2	1	2	į	ļ	1	. 2	2 2	 	1	4	{]	1
Elections	178	2	0	 		 		ļ)			ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	 	ļ	.}	ļ	ļ		}	 .	ļ	
Environment	886	1	<u>~</u>	ļ		 .	.	ļļ						į	ļ	 		<u> </u>		ļ ļ			}	{}	
General Services	536	2		 		1		1			1		}	<u>.</u> 		 	ļ	1	ļ	1		{	{]	
Health and Mental Hygiene	8,507	21	1	ļ		 -		{ 		1			}	<u>.</u>			ļ	4		1			.	}{	
Housing and Community Develop	289	0		. !		l	<u></u>]			1			<u>.</u>]	1]	<u> </u>]		1]]]	
Human Resources	6,187	5				L							ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 				<u> </u>		
Insurance Administration	248	3				1					1		1	į	.L		ļ			1		L	{] [
Juvenile Services	2,001	4	17	15		ļ		}					}		2	6	<u> </u>	<u>}</u>		13		}	3	1	
Labor, Licensing and Regulation	1,662	6	6	2		l	<u> </u>]	1		<u> </u>		3	<u>.</u>]	3	3 2	2	2	3		1	<u></u>]	
MIEMSS	87	1	0]			L		.[<u> </u>		
Morgan State University	1,036	8	5								3		2					2		1			3		1
Natural Resources	1,175	11	2										2	į				1		2					ļ
Public Defender	831	0	1										1				1	l l		1					
Public Safety and Corr Services	10,556	24	146	92	2	4		2	3	6	11		24	1	. 5	43	15	5 15	18	31	89	1	[7
Public Television	137	1	0]			1							
Retirement	174	1	0			[[]]			[]	[1]]]		}	[]	
Sheriff's Office	49	2	;										3			1		1	<u> </u>	3					
St. Mary's College of Maryland	394	3	4	1		}	:		1		2		ļ		1	3	1	1	1	1	4	}	}	[]	
State Police	2,200	7	5								2		3			2	2	2 1	2	3					
Transportation	10,343	65	38	3	1	2		3	6	3	14	1	10	1	3	13	6	5 3	1	4	16	1	16		
Veterans	73	0	1	1	,								}						<u> </u>		1	}	<u> </u>		
WCC	112	1	0			}	• !				i	-		<u> </u>			,	}					}		
TOTAL	52,206	185	260	128	3	9	0	6	13	10	41	5	54	2	10	78	29	30	24	78	116	10	22	1	9

^{*}In order to avoid duplication, Basis, Issues, and Dispositions are only counted on Internal complaints. Please note: Some complaints have multiple basis. An employee may file a complaint alleging that more than one protected class has been violated; therefore, the total number of internal complaints may differ from the total number for basis.

^{(1) &}quot;Internal" complaints are those filed at the agency level, with a State agency's Fair Practices Officer or Equal Employment Opportunity Officer.

^{(2) &}quot;External" complaints are those filed with the Maryland Commission on Civil Rights (formerly the Maryland Commission on Human Relations), Department of Justice, Courts, and/or Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.





SECTION NINE

UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND

SECTION 9: UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND

The University of System of Maryland includes the USM Office and the following institutions: Bowie State University, Coppin State University, Frostburg State University, Salisbury University, Towson University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute, University of Baltimore, University of Maryland Baltimore County, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, University of Maryland College Park, University of Maryland Eastern Shore, and University of Maryland University College.

- There were a total of 36,878 employees in the University System of Maryland.
 - Of the total employees, 20,755 (56.2%) were White, 6,821 (18.5%) were African American, 94 (0.25%) were American Indian and Alaska Native, 2,467 (6.7%) were Asian, 33 (0.09%) were Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, 1,044 (2.8%) were Hispanic or Latino, 102 (0.28%) were Multiracial, and 5,562 (15.1%) were Other (no race checked).
 - Males comprised 17,498 (47.4%) of the workforce.
 - Females comprised 19,380 (52.6%) of the workforce.
 - The majority of Faculty and Instructor positions were filled by Whites (65%) and males (55%).

UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND

TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORKFORCE UTILIZATION - FY 2011

										Race								Eth	nicity
EEO Job Category		TOTAL		Wh	ite	African-A	american	Amei India Alaska	n & Native	Asi	an	Nat Hawai Other l Islai	ian & Pacific nder	race ch	ce (no necked)		racial	Lati othe che	anic or no (no r race cked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Officials and Administrators	1,547	740	807 52%	542 35%	566 37%	131 8%	187	1 0.1%	0 0%	26 1.7%	17 1.1%	0 0%	0 0%	24	25 1.6%	1 0%	1	15 1.0%	11 0.7%
Faculty and	11,298	6,161	5,137	4,044	3,297	602	692	19	17	500	294	8	5	872	688	6	8	110	136
Instructors	11,270	55%	45%	36%	29%	5%	6%	0.2%	0.2%	4.4%	2.6%	0%	0%	7.7%	6.1%	0%	0%	1.0%	1.2%
Faculty/Research	2,668	1,631	1,037	855	642	35	55	1	2	254	128	2	0	459	189	2	2	23	19
		61%	39%	32%	24%	1.3%	2%	0.04%	0.07%	9.5%	4.8%	0%	0%	17.2%	7.1%	0%	0%	0.9%	0.7%
Faculty/Public Service	16	9	7	6	6	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Scivice		56%	44%	38%	38%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Teachers' Assistants/Assoc	6,213	3,135	3,078	1,300 21%	1,445 23%	108 2%	251	6 0.1%	4 0.1%	183 2.9%	200 3.2%	2	4 0%	1,468 23.6%	1,085 17.5%	10 0%	10 0%	58 0.9%	79 1.3%
Professionals	7,700	3,006	4,694		2,802	539	1,136	8	8	207	363	2	3	201	255	13	23	85	104
Trotessionals	7,700	39%	61%	25%	36%	7%	15%	0.1%	0.1%	2.7%	4.7%	0%	0%	2.6%	3.3%	0%	0%	1.1%	1.4%
Administrative	3,267	611	2,656	288	1,336	236	1,059	2	10	33	85	0	4	30	92	3	12	19	58
Support	ĺ	19%	81%	9%	41%	7%	32%	0.06%	0.3%	1.0%	2.6%	0%	0%	0.9%	2.8%	0%	0%	0.6%	1.8%
Technical- Paraprofessionals	1,635	681	954	380	489	203	323	1	5	33	59	0	1	39	43	2	4	23	30
1 at apt of essionals		42%	58%	23%	30%	12%	20%	0.1%	0.3%	2.0%	3.6%	0%	0%	2.4%	2.6%	0%	0%	1.4%	1.8%
Skilled Craft Workers	733	689	44	440	15	168	23	4	0	26	0	0	0	19	1	2	0	30	5
VVUINCIS		94%	6%	60%	2%	23%	3%	0.5%	0%	3.5%	0%	0%	0%	2.6%	0.1%	0%	0%	4.1%	0.7%
Service-Maintenance	1,801	835	966	204	147	528	542	4	2	23	35	1	1	26	46	2	1	47	192
		46%	54%	11%	8%	29%	30%	0.2%		1.3%	1.9%	0%		1.4%	í H	0%		2.6%	10.7%
TOTAL	36,878	1 7,498 47.4%	19 ,380 52.6%	10 ,010 27.1%	10,745 29.1%	2,553 6.9%	4,268 11.6%		48 0.13%	1 ,285 3.5%	1 ,182 3.2%	15 0.04%		3,138 8.5%	2,424 6.6%		61 0.17%	410 1.1%	634 1.7%

SECTION TEN

INDEPENDENT HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

SECTION 10: INDEPENDENT HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

BALTIMORE CITY COMMUNITY COLLEGE

- There are 399 employees in the Baltimore City Community College workforce.
 - 268 or 67% are African-American employees
 - 237 or 59% are female employees

MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

- There are 1,036 employees in the Morgan State University workforce.
 - 788 or 76% are African-American employees
 - 509 or 49% are female employees

ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF MARYLAND

- There are 394 employees in the St. Maryøs College of Maryland workforce.
 - 59 or 15% are African-American employees
 - 195 or 49% are female employees

BALTIMORE CITY COMMUNITY COLLEGE TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORKFORCE UTILIZATION — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Rac	e							Ethn	icity
EEO Job Category	7	ГОТАL		Wł	nite	Afri Ame		Amei India Alaska	ın &	Asi	ian	Native H & Other Islan	Pacific	Balance chec		Multi	racial	Hispa Latino (race ch	no other
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Officials and Administrators	17	9		2	<u> </u>	7	,	0	~~~~			ļ		0	0	0		0	
		53%	47%	12%	12%	41%	35%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Professionals	162	68		25		36		0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ļ		0		0	0	0	}	1	2
		42%	58%	15%	21%	22%	35%	0%	0%	3.70%	0.62%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.62%	1.23%
Technicians	20	13		4		9		0		0		0		0	0	0		0	1
		65%	35%	20%	5%	45%	20%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Protective Service Workers: Sworn	11	10		2		8		0	~~~~			ļ		0	0	0		0	
Workers. Sworn		91%	9%	18%	0%	73%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Protective Service Workers: Non-Sworn	10	7		1	0	6		0			ļ	ļ		0	ļ	ļ		0	0
WOINCIST TON SWOTE		70%	0%	0%	0%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Administrative Support	156	34		12		19		0						0	0	0		2	
		22%	78%	8%	15%	12%	61%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.28%	0.64%
Skilled Craft Workers	12	12	0	5	0	6	0	0				ļ		0	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	42%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%
Service-Maintenance	11	9	,	0		9	<u></u>	0				ļ		0	ļ	0		0	
		82%	18%	0%	0%	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
TOTAL	399	162		51	60			0		8				0	0			3	4
		41%	59%	13%	15%	25%	42%	0%	0%	2.01%	1.25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.75%	1.00%

BALTIMORE CITY COMMUNITY COLLEGE PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS ANALYSIS — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Ra	ice							Ethn	icity
Type of Transaction		TOTAL		Wł	nite		can- rican	Ame Indian & Nat	k Alaska	As	ian	Nat Hawai Other Islai	iian & Pacific	Balance chec	e (no race eked)	Multi	racial	Hispa Latino (race ch	no other
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
A	33	11	22	4	6	4	13	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
Appointments	33	33%	67%	12%	18%	12%	39%	0%	0%	3%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	6%	0%
Reinstatements	0	0	0																
Reinstatements	v	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Promotions	4	2	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tromotions	•	50%	50%	25%	0%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Reclassifications	0	0	-																
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Demotions	0	0	0																
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Transfers	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	V	0	0	0	0	Ü	0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Suspensions	0	0	0																
•		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%
TOTAL	38	14	24	5		6		0	0	1	2	0	0					2	0
		37%	63%	13%	16%	16%	39%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%

SUMMARY OF SEPARATIONS AND TERMINATIONS — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Ra	ice							Ethr	icity
Type of Separations and Terminations		ГОТАL		Wł	nite		ican- rican	Amei Indian & Nat	z Alaska	Asi	an	Nat Hawai Other I Islan	iian & Pacific	Balance check	(Multi	racial	Hispa Latino (
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Resignations	24	6	18	2	6	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
Resignations	24	25%	75%	8%	25%	8%	42%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Rejection on Probation	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
rejection on 1 robation	•	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Terminations	10	3	7	2	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
101 minations	10	30%	70%	20%	0%	10%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%
TOTAL	35	9	26	4	6	3	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	53	26%	74%	11%	17%	9%	46%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%

MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORKFORCE UTILIZATION — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										Ra	ice							Eth	nicity
EEO Job Category	Т	OTAL		Wi	nite		can- rican	Amei India Alaska	ın &	Asi	an	Nat Hawai Other Islai	Pacific	Balan race ch	,	Multi	racial	Latino	anic or (no other hecked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Officials and Administrators	84	38 45%	46 55%	<u>7</u>	1	31	52%	0	1 1%	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0%	0%	0%	0
Professionals	661	378	283	82	53	231	209	23	7	40	12	2 0.3%	0.3%	0	0	0%	0	0	0
Technicians	9	4 44%	5 56%	12%	0	33%	5	0	0	0	0	0.3%	0.3%	0		0%	0%	0%	0%
Protective Service Workers: Sworn	42	32 76%	10		1 2%	25	8	1 2%	0%	1 2%	1 2%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%
Protective Service Workers: <i>Non-Sworn</i>	0	0	0																
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Administrative Support	157	12 8%	145 92%	0%	1.3%	12	138	0%	1.3%	0%	1.3%	0%	0.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0
Skilled Craft Workers	16	14	2	1	0	13		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Service-Maintenance	67	49	13%	0	0%	49		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	_	0%	0%		0%
TOTAL	1.026	73% 527	27% 509	0% 96	0% 57	73% 364	27% 424	0% 24	0% 10	0% 41	0% 15	0% 2	0% 3	0%	0%	0%	0%		0% 0
TOTAL	1,036	51%	49%	9%	6%	35%	41%	2.3%	1.0%	4.0%	1.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS ANALYSIS — FISCAL YEAR 2011

										I	Race							Ethn	icity
Type of Transaction		ГОТАL		Wi	nite	Afri Ame	ican- rican	Indi	rican an & Native	As	ian	Native H & Other Islan	Pacific	Balance check		Multi	racial	_	nic or no other necked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Appointments	124	73	51	35	17	28	31	0	0	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		59%	41%	28%	14%	23%	25%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Reinstatements	11	5	6	1	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		45%	55%	9%	18%	36%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Promotions	7	4	3	0		3	3	0	0		0	0	0	v	0	0	0	0	0
		57%	43%	0%	0%	43%	43%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Reclassifications	3	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ŭ	0	0	0	0	0
		33%	67%	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Demotions	1	1	0	0		1	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Suspensions	4	4	0	0		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0
		0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Transfers	0	0	0																
		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
TOTAL	150	88	62	36		39		0	0	11	3	0	0		0		0	0	0
		59%	41%	24%		26%	27%	0%	0%	7%		0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

SUMMARY OF SEPARATIONS AND TERMINATIONS — FISCAL YEAR 2011

]	Race							Ethn	nicity
Type of Separations and Terminations	7	ГОТАL		WI	nite		can- rican	Indi	rican an & Native	As	sian	Native H & Other Islan	Pacific	Balance chec		Multi	racial	Latino (nic or (no other necked)
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Resignations	35	17	18	3	4	9	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	3	0	1	0	0
		49%	51%	9%	11%	26%	29%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	11%	9%	0%	3%	0%	0%
Rejection on Probation	0	0	0																
.	,	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Terminations	18	11	7	2	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
		61%	39%	11%	0%	39%	39%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
TOTAL	53	28	25	5	4	16	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	3	0	1	0	0
		53%	47%	9%	8%	30%	32%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	11%	6%	0%	2%	0%	0%

ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF MARYLAND TOTAL FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME WORKFORCE UTILIZATION — FISCAL YEAR 2011


	TOTAL			Race														Ethnicity	
EEO Job Category				White		African- American		American Indian & Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander		Balance (no race checked)		Multiracial		Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked)	
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Officials and Administrators	46	21	25	18	23	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		46%	54%	39%	50%	7%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Professionals	165	101	64	83	55	8	2	0	0	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2
		61%	39%	50%	33%	5%	1%	0%	0%	4.2%	3.0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%
Technicians	32	32	0	28	0	Ů	, i		0	1	0	Ů	0	1	0	, i	0	•	0
		100%	0%	88%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%
Protective Service Workers: Sworn	1	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	·		0%	Ŭ	0%
				_															
Protective Service Workers: <i>Non-Sworn</i>	10	80%	20%	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%
Administrative Support	84	3070		3070															
		1	83	1	68	0		0	0	0	3	0	0	0		0	0		0
		1%	99%	1%	81%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Skilled Craft Workers	11	10	1	7	1	3		0	0	0	0		0	0			0		0
		91%	9%	64%	9%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Service-Maintenance	45	25	20	12	6			0	0	0	0	Ŭ	0	0		0	0		0
		56%	44%	27%	13%	29%	31%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%
TOTAL	394	199 51%	195 49%	1 55	1 55	30		1 0%	0%	2.0%	2.03%	0%	0%	0.25%					0.76%
		31/0	77/0	37/0	37/0	370	1 //0	070	0 /0	2.070	2.03/0	070	070	0.23/0	0 /0	0 /0	1 070	1.02/0	0.7070

ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF MARYLAND PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS ANALYSIS — FISCAL YEAR 2011

				Race												Eth	nicity		
Type of Transaction	TOTAL			White		African- American		American Indian & Alaska Native		Asian		Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander		Balance (no race checked)		Multiracial		Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked)	
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Appointments 48	48	19	29	15	24	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
repromenes		40%	60%	31%	50%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%
Reinstatements	3	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kemstatements	Kemstatements	67%	33%	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Promotions	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tromotions	•	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Reclassifications	0	0	0																
Reclassifications		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Suspensions	0	0	0																
Suspensions		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Demotions	0	0	0																
Demotions	<u> </u>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
TOTAL	52	22	30	18	25	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
IOTAL	52	42%	58%	35%	48%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%

SUMMARY OF SEPARATIONS AND TERMINATIONS — FISCAL YEAR 2011

					Race										Eth	nicity			
Type of Separations and Terminations	TOTAL		White Afric Ameri			Indian &		Asian		Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander		Balance (no race checked)		Multiracial		Hispanic or Latino (no other race checked)			
	Employees	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Resignations	19	8	11	6	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
11001911111111		42%	58%	32%	58%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%
Rejection on		0	0																
Probation		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Terminations 18	18	10	8	8	7	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		56%	44%	44%	39%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
TOTAL	37	18	19	14	18	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
IUIAL	3/	48.6%	51.4%	38%	49%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%



Appendix A

EXECUTIVE ORDER 01.01.2007.16

Code of Fair Employment Practices

(Rescinds Executive Order 01.01.2007.09)

- **WHEREAS**, The State of Maryland recognizes and honors the value and dignity of every employee and understands the importance of providing its employees with a fair opportunity to pursue their careers in an environment free of discrimination or any form of prohibited harassment;
- *WHEREAS*, Title 5, Subtitle 2 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland establishes an Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) program to ensure that employment decisions are based only on merit and fitness;
- *WHEREAS*, The State is committed to providing a work environment free from discrimination on the basis of age, ancestry, color, creed, gender identity and expression, genetic information, marital status, mental or physical disability, national origin, religious affiliation, belief or opinion, race, sex, sexual orientation, or any other non-merit factor;
- **WHEREAS**, All Executive Branch appointing authorities and managers are expected to assume personal responsibility and leadership in ensuring that fair employment practices are adhered to and that equal employment opportunity is a reality in Maryland State government; and
- **WHEREAS,** There is a need to update prior Executive Orders to emphasize the State of Marylandøs commitment to fair employment practices, to reaffirm the responsibilities of State agencies to uphold these important principles, and to reflect existing law.
- NOW, THEREFORE, I, MARTIN O@MALLEY, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND, BY VIRTURE OF THE AUTHORITY VESTED IN ME BY THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS OF MARYLAND, DO HEREBY RESCIND EXECUTIVE ORDER .01.01.2007.09 AND PROCLAIM THE FOLLOWING EXECUTIVE ORDER EFFECTIVELY IMMEDIATELY.

Appendix A

ARTICLE I – EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM IN STATE GOVERNMENT

- A. All personnel actions concerning any employee or applicant for employment in the Executive Branch will be taken on the basis of merit and fitness, and without regard to:
 - 1. Age;
 - 2. Ancestry;
 - 3. Color:
 - 4. Creed;
 - 5. Gender identity and expression;
 - 6. Genetic information;
 - 7. Marital status:
 - 8. Mental or physical disability;
 - 9. National origin;
 - 10. Race;
 - 11. Religious affiliation, belief or opinion;
 - 12. Sex; or;
 - 13. Sexual orientation.
- B. All personnel actions concerning any skilled, professional or management service employee and any special appointee designated by the Secretary of Budget and Management, or any applicant for employment in those services or in comparable positions in an independent personnel system in the Executive Branch, shall be without regard to political affiliation, belief or opinion.
- C. Discrimination against or harassment of employees on the basis of any reason prohibited by law is not permitted.
- D. Retaliation against any employee who opposes discrimination or participates in an EEO investigation is not permitted.
- E. Retaliation against an individual because of their refusal to submit to a genetic test or make available the results of a genetic test is not permitted.
- F. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall:
 - (1) Recommend the appointment of a Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator who shall administer the program and coordinate the activities of the agency Fair Practices Officers, EEO Officers, and Americans with Disabilities Act Officers;

Appendix A

- (2) Establish an Equal Employment Opportunity Unit which will report directly to the Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator to oversee the administration of an equal employment practices program consistent with the requirements of applicable federal and State law governing equal employment opportunity, the State Personnel and Pensions Article, and this Executive Order;
- (3) Upon an appropriate showing by an agency, and consistent with State and federal law, permit any bona fide occupational qualification; and
- (4) Take any action, not consistent with federal or State law, to resolve employee complaints of unfair employment practices.
- G. The head of each department or other independent unit in the Executive Branch shall, consistent with guidelines and regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Budget and Management:
 - (1) Appoint a Fair Practices Officer, and any Equal Employment Opportunity Officers, as required, for the appropriate implementation of the Equal Employment Opportunity Program in the department or unit;
 - (2) Ensure that the personnel practices in the department or unit are not discriminatory;
 - (3) Review disciplinary actions initiated against employees, employee grievances and complaints of discrimination to ensure the fair and equitable treatment of employees in their department or unit;
 - (4) Develop and implement policies that promote equal employment opportunity and work force diversification reflective of the availability of women, minorities, and persons with disabilities in the relevant labor market;
 - (5) Provide leadership and training to managers, supervisors and other employees in fair employment practices;
 - (6) Ensure that designated Fair Practices and Equal Employment Opportunity
 Officers are known to all employees in their respective agencies and that these
 Officers have appropriate decision-making authority consistent with relevant
 federal and State law, this Executive Order, and personnel regulations;

Appendix A

- (7) Consult and cooperate fully with the Secretary of Budget and Management and the Statewide EEO Coordinator or their designees in investigating and resolving expeditiously complaints of discrimination or unfair employment practices;
- (8) Provide statistical and other information requested by the Secretary of Budget and Management regarding efforts to implement the department or unit Equal Employment Opportunity Program;
- (9) Develop and implement programs, activities and events to acknowledge and educate about diversity and cultural differences; and
- (10) Implement any decision of the Secretary of Budget and Management not consistent with the spirit or requirements of this Executive Order and federal or State law.
- H. Cabinet officials and other heads of departments or units are expected to lead by example in promoting fair employment practices and this Administration policy of zero tolerance for employment discrimination.

ARTICLE II – COMPLAINTS OF DISCRIMINATION AND UNFAIR EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES

- A. It is the policy of this Administration that all complaints of discrimination or other unfair employment practices be thoroughly investigated and promptly resolved, as appropriate.
- B. The Statewide EEO Coordinator shall monitor the compliance and effectiveness of each agency EEO program and make recommendations for improvement.
- C. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall develop and promulgate rules, regulations, and guidelines for the investigation and resolution of complaints of discrimination or other unfair employment practices which shall include, at a minimum:
 - (1) Provisions for resolving complaints informally whenever possible;
 - (2) Provisions for employees to file complaints with the Fair Practices or Equal Employment Opportunity Officer for the department or unit;

Appendix A

- (3) Provisions for the review and resolution of any employment grievance, complaint involving discrimination or other unfair employment practices by the Secretary of Budget and Management prior to any appeal to the Office of Administrative Hearings;
- (4) Guidelines for cooperation with the investigation of any complaint of discrimination filed with the Maryland Commission on Civil Rights (formerly the Maryland Commission on Human Relations), the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission or any other agency authorized by law to conduct such investigations; and
- (5) Training programs for managers and supervisors in identifying and resolving complaints of discrimination or other unfair employment practices.
- D. No employee shall be harassed or otherwise retaliated against for filing a complaint of discrimination or other unfair employment practice, providing information in support of any such complaint or testifying, assisting or participating in any phase of an investigation of any unfair employment practice, or on the basis of his or her refusal to submit to a genetic test or make available the results of a genetic test.
- E. Discrimination or retaliation complaints by Fair Practices Officers, Equal Employment Opportunity Officers, or EEO Office Directors shall be filed with the head of the unit or their designee and may be appealed to the Statewide EEO Coordinators Office.

ARTICLE III – COOPERATION WITH THE MARYLAND COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS (FORMERLY THE MARYLAND COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS) AND THE UNITED STATES EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

- A. In accordance with the requirements of applicable federal and State law governing equal employment opportunity, the State Personnel and Pensions Article, and this Executive Order, all heads of departments and units in the Executive Branch shall cooperate fully with the Maryland Commission on Civil Rights (formerly the Maryland Commission on Human Relations), the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and any other federal or State agency investigating discrimination and duly comply with any validly adopted rules, regulations, and orders for effectuating the State® policies against discrimination and resolving complaints of discrimination.
- B. Every attempt shall be made to resolve complaints of discrimination within a department or unit; however, employees who file complaints of discrimination with agency Fair Practices Officers or Equal Employment Opportunity Officers shall be

Appendix A

advised of their right to file a complaint with the Maryland Commission on Civil Rights (formerly the Maryland Commission on Human Relations) and the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

- C. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall establish guidelines which provide for agency participation in mediation or arbitration of employee complaints of discriminatory or unfair employment practices.
- D. If an employee of the Maryland Commission on Civil Rights (formerly the Maryland Commission on Human Relations) files a complaint of discrimination against a State agency, the Governor shall appoint an individual to perform the functions usually performed by the Commission.

ARTICLE IV- STATE ACTION

- A. Any employee of the State who violates the laws of this State pertaining to equal employment opportunity, this Executive Order or guidelines, rules and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal from employment with the State.
- B. In performing services to the public, employees of this State will not discriminate against the public or individuals for any reason prohibited by law, nor shall they authorize the use of State facilities in the furtherance of any unlawfully discriminatory purpose or by any organization which unlawfully discriminates in its membership or policies.

ARTICLE V – ACCOMMODATIONS

- A. Reasonable accommodation shall be provided for all qualified applicants for employment and State employees with disabilities, consistent with the requirements of federal and State law.
- B. Meetings, hearings, and employment tests shall be conducted in an accessible manner and location, as required by federal and State law.
- C. No employee shall be retaliated against for seeking a reasonable accommodation for a disability.
- D. Managers and Supervisors shall receive training concerning reasonable accommodations for disabilities.

Appendix A

ARTICLE VI – ANNUAL REPORTS

- A. The head of each principal department or unit in the Executive Branch shall, by October 15 of each year, or as otherwise requested, submit to the Secretary of Budget and Management an annual report of activities taken in the previous fiscal year to effectuate this Code of Fair Employment Practices. The report shall contain the information required by the Secretary of Budget and Management in a form consistent with the guidelines issued by the Secretary of Budget and Management.
- B. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall, by January 1 of each year, submit to the Governor an annual report on statewide equal employment opportunity practices. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall provide copies of the report to each department and unit, the General Assembly, and the Maryland Commission on Civil Rights (formerly the Maryland Commission on Human Relations).

ARTICLE VII – NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES

- A. The Secretary of Budget and Management shall publish the policies of this State with regard to its fair employment practices and make copies of the publication available to all agencies.
- B. Consistent with the requirements of federal and State law, the Secretary shall authorize an appropriate notice of State fair employment practices and the manner in which the notice is to be posted.
- C. The heads of departments and units shall procure the publication and notice required by this Executive Order, furnish copies of the publication to all managers and supervisors and make it available to employees, and post the notice in the locations and manner required by the Secretary of Budget and Management.

Appendix B

Statewide Equal Employment Organizational Responsibility

Governor

On the 15th day of May, 2007, Governor Martin O@Malley issued a new code of Fair Employment Practices, Executive Order 01.01.2007.09 and later rescinded this Order and replaced it with Executive Order 01.01.2007.16 on the 22nd day of August 2007. Governor O@Malley values and honors all State of Maryland employees and believes in equal opportunity in employment for all employees and applicants for employment without regard to race, sex, disability, religion, genetic information, gender identity and expression and national origin and other prohibited basis. Governor O@Malley is committed to providing a professional work environment that is free from discrimination, harassment and retaliation.

The Joint Committee on Fair Practices and State Personnel Oversight

The Joint Committee on Fair Practices and State Personnel Oversight was established in 2009 to replace the Legislative Joint Committee on Fair Practices which was originally created by the State Personnel Management System Reform Act of 1996. The Joint Committee is comprised of eight members; four members of the State Senate and four members of the House of Delegates. The Joint Committee has oversight over employment policies and personnel systems in the Executive Branch of State Government, equal employment opportunity policies and practices, and certain procurement practices.

Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management

In accordance with Section 5-204 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article, the Secretary oversees the Equal Employment Opportunity Program for Executive Branch agencies including agencies with independent personnel systems. This law specifically requires that the Secretary shall administer the EEO Program in compliance with all State and federal laws governing equal employment opportunity; adopt regulations, policies, and directives to implement the Program; ensure that equal employment opportunity efforts are considered in evaluating the work performance of supervisors, managers, and directors of the Department; evaluate the equal employment efforts in each unit; enforce the provisions of the Governor Code of Fair Employment Practices, and develop and submit to the Governor an annual report of the State EEO Program.

Appendix B

Deputy Secretary Department of Budget and Management

The Deputy Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management is responsible for assisting the Secretary in implementing and overseeing the Equal Employment Opportunity program and ensuring its compliance with all State and federal laws governing equal employment opportunity and the Governor Code of Fair Employment Practices.

Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator

As provided in Section 5-206 of the State Personnel and Pensions Article, the Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator administers and enforces the State EEO Program. The Coordinator performs under the direction and supervision of the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Budget and Management. In complying with this mandate, the Coordinator is appointed to implement EEO laws, policies and procedures, as well as, serve as the State point of contact regarding EEO related concerns. The Coordinator also reviews and investigates appeals of EEO findings and determinations by Executive and independent agencies; investigates appeals of EEO findings and alleged violations of the Governor Code of Fair Employment Practices and the State Whistleblower statue; works with agency Fair Practices, EEO and ADA Officers to ensure they establish and maintain a diverse, non-discriminatory and accessible work place that is free of harassment and retaliation; plans and provides EEO-related training and education; and prepares the annual Statewide EEO report.

Secretaries and Heads of State Agencies

In accordance with Executive Order 01.01.2007.16, each Secretary and agency head is responsible for ensuring compliance with the State® EEO Program within his or her respective Executive Branch agency. This includes Secretaries and agency heads with independent personnel systems. These responsibilities include appointing a Fair Practices Officer and an Equal Employment Opportunity Officer; ensuring that the personnel practices in the department or unit are not discriminatory; reviewing disciplinary actions initiated against employees to ensure fair and equitable treatment; developing and implementing policies that promote equal employment opportunity and workforce diversification; and providing leadership and training to managers, supervisors and other employees in fair employment practices.

Fair Practices Officer

In accordance with State law, the Fair Practices Officer shall implement the EEO Program within the unit; investigate and, as appropriate, resolve complaints of alleged discrimination or unfair employment practices; and coordinate the activities of the equal employment opportunity offices in the unit. The Fair Practices Officer must report to the head of the agency and be an assistant secretary or an employee of the unit with stature similar to that of an assistant secretary.

Appendix B

Equal Employment Opportunity Officer

State law mandates that the Equal Employment Opportunity Officers enforce the State® EEO Program and monitor compliance with State and federal EEO laws; investigate and resolve all internal agency employee discrimination and unfair employment complaints; monitor personnel actions adopted by the unit and ensure implementation is in compliance with all State and federal EEO laws; respond to plans and direct various programs including, equal employment opportunity, contract compliance, training and community relations; respond to complaints and requests for information from external adjudications and enforcement agencies; assist in developing EEO goals; and monitor personnel actions.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Officers


The ADA Officers are responsible for advising employees and managers on issues relating to Title 1 of the Americans with Disabilities Act. These include making determinations regarding an employee& eligibility under the ADA, recommending and implementing reasonable accommodations, and ensuring an accessible work place.

AGENCY	ACRONYM	FAIR PRACTICES	EEO	ADA		
Administrative Hearings, Office of	OAH	Richard Norman	Grant Chism	Grant Chism		
African American Museum	MAAMC	Junius Randolph	James Hawkins Lisa Woods	James Hawkins		
Aging, Maryland Department of	MDOA	Ilene Rosenthal	Shirley Williams	Sandra Cobb		
Agriculture, Department of	MDA	James Wallace	Momoh Conteh	Momoh Conteh		
Archives, Maryland State	MSA	Richard Richardson	Christopher Haley	Cecilia Smith		
Assessments and Taxation, Department of	DAT	Annie Cure	Sheila McKenney	Sheila McKenney		
Attorney General Goffice	OAG	Kay Winfree John Howard	Beverly Pivec Peter Killough	Yolanda Colkley		
Automobile Insurance Fund, Maryland	MAIF	John F. Banghart	Charisse Walker	Charisse Walker		
Baltimore City Community College	BCCC	Tony Warner	Tony Warner	Tony Warner		
Bowie State University	BSU	Sheila Hobson	Keneithia Taylor	Keneithia Taylor		
Budget and Management Department	DBM	David Romans	Nicole Carter	Nicole Carter		
Business and Economic Development, Department of	DBED	Cheryl Fields	Cheryl Fields	Cheryl Fields		
Canal Place	CANAL	Renee Bone	Renee Bone	Renee Bone		
College Savings Plans of Maryland	CSPM	Azriel Osofsky	Azriel Osofsky	Azriel Osofsky		
Comptroller of Maryland	COMP	Steven Barzal	Steven Barzal	Steven Barzal		
Contract Appeal, Maryland Board of	SBCA	Loni Howe Michael Carnahan Jr.	Loni Howe Michael Carnahan Jr.	Loni Howe		
Coppin University	CSU	Tammy Flowers	Tammy Flowers	Darlene Webb Maqbool Patel		
Deaf, Maryland School for the	MSD	Anny Currin	Cyndi Fries Anny Currin	Anny Currin		
Education, Maryland State Department	MSDE	John E. Smeallie	Linda Shevitz Renee Yarbough-Williams	Renee Yarbough-Williams		
Elections State Board of	SBEL	Jacqueline Bryley	Jared DeMarinis	Roger Stitt		
Emergency Medical Services Systems, Maryland Institute for	MIEMSS	Patricia A. Gainer	James W. Brown	Jeannie Abramson		
Environment, Maryland Department of	MDE	Tyrone Hill	Tyrone Hill	Tyrone Hill		
Environmental Services, Maryland	MES	Beth S. Wojton	Donna Oliff	Sandra Westervelt		
Executive Department State of Maryland	EXEC	Lawrence Myers	Lawrence Myers	Lawrence Myers		
Food Center Authority, Maryland	MFCA	Betty Allison	Rose Harrell	Betty Allison		
Frostburg State University	FSU	Beth W. Hoffman	Beth W. Hoffman	Beth W. Hoffman		
General Services Department of	DGS	D'Andrea Lancelin	Paul Ford	Janet Cora		
Health and Mental Hygiene, Department of	DHMH	Rodney Taylor	Lee Williamson	Felisa A. McCall-Smith		
Health Insurance Plan Maryland	MHIP	Cynthia Deickman	Cynthia Deickman	Cynthia Deickman		
Higher Education Commission, Maryland	MHEC	Vacant	Jon Enriquez	Vacant		
Historic St. Mary's City Commission	HSMC	Sally A. Mercer	Melvin A. McClintock	Regina Faden		
Housing and Community Development Department of	DHCD	Brien C. Boone	Brien C. Boone	Brien C. Boone		

Civil Rights, Maryland Commission on (formerly the Maryland Commission on Human Relations)	MCCR	Benny F. Short	Isora Cook	Isora Cook		
Human Resources Department of	DHR	Carl W. Bailey, Jr.	Gerald Stansbury	Gerald Stansbury		
Information Technology, Department of	DOIT	Stacia Cropper	Nicole Carter	Nicole Carter		
Insurance Administration, Maryland	MIA	Sabrina Wells	Susan Yates	Susan Yates		
Judiciary of Maryland	JUD	Larry Jones	Larry Jones	Larry Jones		
Juvenile Services, Department of	DJS	Tammy Brown	Charles Proctor	Charles Proctor		
Labor, Licensing and Regulation, Department of	DLLR	Jennifer Reed	Yvette Dickens	Jennifer Reed		
Lottery Agency, Maryland State	MSLA	Gina Smith	Kimberly Saxton	Carl Beard		
Military Department	DMIL	Alberto Jimenez	William H. Allen	William Allen		
Morgan State University	MSU	Vacant	Jacqueline Mintz	Vacant		
Natural Resources, Department of	DNR	Wilson Parran	Richard W. Allen	Richard W. Allen		
Peoples Counsel, Office of the	PCPS	Seva Diakoparaskevas	Seva Diakoparaskevas Terri Czarski	Seva Diakoparaskevas		
Planning, Department of	MDP	Cheryl Murphy	Cheryl Murphy	Joyce Carter		
Police, Maryland State	DMSP	Capt. Anita Allen	Capt. Anita Allen Sergeant Sonya Clark	Tracey Moultrie		
Property Tax Assessment Appeals Board	PTAAB	Kent Finkelsen Julie Greene	Julie Greene	Kent Finkelsen		
Prosecutor, Office of the State	SPO	James Cabezas	James Cabezas	James Cabezas		
Public Broadcasting Commission, Maryland	MPT	Larry D. Unger	Gladys Kaplan	Gladys Kaplan		
Public Defender, Office of the	PDS	Paul DeWolfe	Lynn C. Bellamy	Lynn C. Bellamy		
Public Safety and Correctional Services, Department of	DPSCS	Michelle Romney	Paula Green-Holt Gilda Felicia Jones Genice Fowler Gene Noble	Michelle Romney		
Public School Construction Program	PSCP	Robert C. Crocetti	Robert C. Crocetti	Robert Crocetti		
Public Service Commission	PSC	Terry Romine	Matthew Papathakis	Terry Romine		
Public Works, Board of	BPW	Doldon W. Moore, Jr.	Doldon W. Moore, Jr.	Doldon W. Moore, Jr.		
Retirement & Pensions Systems, Maryland State Retirement Agency	MSRA	Melody Countess	Patrice Sowah	Vacant		
St. Maryøs College of Maryland	SMCM	Sally A. Mercer	Melvin A. McClintock	Vacant		
Salisbury University	SU	Amy Hasson	Jeff Boyer	Jeff Boyer		
Sheriff	BCS	Henry Martin	Karen Shelton	Eness Brown		
Stadium Authority Maryland	STAD	Rodger C. Dorsey	Rodger C. Dorsey	Gary McGuigan Rodger Dorsey		
Subsequent Injury Fund	SIF	Edgar G. Dodd	Edgar G. Dodd	Edgar G. Dodd		
upplemental Retirement Plans, Maryland Teachers and State Employees	MSRP	Anna Marie Smith	Anna Marie Smith	Anna Marie Smith		
Tax Court, Maryland	MTC	John T. Hearn	John T. Hearn	John T. Hearn		
Towson University	TU	Debbie Seeberger	Daniel Leonard	Daniel Leonard		
Treasurerøs Office, Maryland State	TREA	Bernadette Benik	Cissy Blasi	Shelly Reid		

Appendix C

Transportation, Maryland Department of	MDOT	Jan M. Bryant	Vacant	Robin Underwood	
Maryland Aviation Administration	MAA	Angela Martin	Vacant	Neal Heaton	
Maryland Port Administration	MPA	Wanda Forman	Priscilla Johnson	Wanda Forman	
Maryland Transportation Authority	MdTA	Beverly Hill	Louis W. Jones	Louis W. Jones	
Maryland Transit Administration	MTA	Paula Cullings	Carolyn Brown Debbie Horsey Farnese McDonald	Michele Gardner	
Motor Vehicle Administration	MVA	Alvinia Kelly	Vacant	Vacant	
State Highway Administration	SHA	Sharon Holmes	Troy Parham	Edwin Becraft	
Uninsured Employerøs Fund	UEF	Vacant	James E. Brewer	Vacant	
University Systems of Maryland	USM	JoAnn Goedert	Kathy DeShong	Kathy DeShong	
University of Baltimore	UB	William Nelson	Rebecca Spence	Jackie Truelove Rebecca Spence	
University of Maryland Baltimore	UMB	Sheila Greenwood	Sheila Greenwood	Sheila Greenwood	
University of Maryland Baltimore County	UMBC	Adrienne Mercer	Adrienne Mercer	Stephanie Lazarus	
University of Maryland College Park	UMCP	Roberta Coates	Vacant	Vacant	
University of Maryland Eastern Shore	UMES	Marie H. Billie	Marie H. Billie	Marie H. Billie	
University of Maryland for Environmental Science	UMCES	Dennis Mesko	Dennis Mesko	Dennis Mesko	
University of Maryland University College	UMUC	Blair Hayes	Blair Hayes	Blair Hayes	
Veteran Affairs, Maryland Department	MDVA	Debra Hynes	Rose Bean	Rose Bean	
Workergs Compensation Commission	WCC	Mary Ahearn	James Moore	James Moore	



GLOSSARY

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION: Those actions appropriate to overcome the effects of past and present practices, policies or other barriers to equal employment opportunity.

APPOINTMENT: Filing a position with a qualified candidate and does not include a reassignment.

- **CAREER REINVESTMENT PROGRAM:** The program created by the Office of Personnel Services and Benefits to facilitate the movement of laid-off or separated employees and employees with disabilities into other skill areas.
- **CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE:** The number of persons 16 years of age and over, (except those in the armed forces), who are employed or who are unemployed and seeking employment in the geographic area from which an employer would recruit. (United States Department of Labor.)
- **COORDINATOR:** The Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article, §5-201).

DEMOTION: A change from one class to another class with a lower maximum rate of compensation.

- **DESIGNATED POLITICAL SPECIAL APPOINTMENT:** Those job functions that satisfy the political appointment criteria as stated in the law. These include:
 - 1. Administrative support work for an Executive Service official or agency head;
 - 2. Special Assistant to an Executive Service official or agency head;
 - 3. Legislative Liaison or Director of Government Affairs;
 - 4. Public Information Officer or Director of Communications;
 - 5. Chief of Staff or Primary Executive Administrator for an agency;
 - 6. Certain positions in the Office of the Attorney General, as provided in § 6-105 of the State Government Article.

DISABILITY: With respect to an individual ó

- 1. a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of such individual;
- 2. a record of such an impairment; or
- 3. being regarded as having such impairment. (Americans with Disabilities Act, Title I, vol. 42, United States Code §12102, et seq. 1990).

EXECUTIVE SERVICE:

- (a) *Executive Branch* Except as otherwise provided by law, the following positions in the Executive Branch of State government are in the executive service:
 - (1) the chief administrator of a principal unit or a comparable position that is not excluded from the State Personnel Management System under §6-301 of this title as a constitutional or elected office; and
 - (2) a deputy secretary or assistant secretary of a principal unit or a position that the Secretary determines has similar stature.
- **(b)** *Other positions.* The executive service includes any other position that is determined by the Secretary to be in the executive service. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §6-404).
- **EXTERNAL COMPLAINT:** A complaint filed with the Maryland Commission on Civil Rights, Department of Justice, Courts, and/or Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- **INTERNAL COMPLAINT:** A complaint received at the originating agency and/or appealed to the Office of Statewide Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator.

MANAGEMENT SERVICE:

- (a) Executive Branch Except as otherwise provided by law, a position in the Executive Branch of State government is in the management service if the position:
 - (1) primarily involves direct responsibility for the oversight and management of personnel and financial resources;
 - (2) requires the exercise of discretion and independent judgment; and
 - (3) is not in the executive service.
- **(b)** *Other positions.* The management service includes any other position that is determined by the Secretary to be in the management service. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §6-403).

MULTIRACIAL: Two or more races.

- **NEW HIRES:** An employee who was hired for the first time or rehired after a break in service for permanent full-time employment.
- **PERMANENT PART-TIME EMPLOYEE:** An employee who works an average of 50% or more but less than 100% of the regular workweek. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §7-701).
- **PRINCIPAL UNIT:** A principal department or other principal independent unit of State government.

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE:

- (a) Executive Branch Except as otherwise provided by law, a position in the Executive Branch of State government is in the professional service if the position:
 - (1) requires knowledge of an advanced type in a field of science or learning customarily acquired by a course of specialized intellectual instruction and study; and (2) normally requires a professional license, advanced degree, or both.
- **(b)** *Other positions.* The professional service includes any other position that is determined by the Secretary to be in the professional service. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §6-402).
- **PROGRAM:** Equal Employment Opportunity Program established under the Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article, Title 5.
- **PROMOTION:** A change from one class to another class with a higher maximum rate of compensation.
- **PROMOTIONAL CANDIDATE:** An employee in a non-temporary position in the skilled or professional services who is not a special appointment.
- **PROTECTED GROUP:** A group that is specifically protected by Maryland law from discrimination.

SKILLED SERVICE:

- (a) Executive Branch Except as provided in this title or otherwise provided by law, all positions in the Executive Branch of State government that are included in the State Personnel Management System are in the skilled service.
- **(b) Judicial Branch** Except as otherwise provided by law, the following positions in the Judicial Branch of State government are in the skilled service.
 - (1) clerical and administrative positions in the District Court of Maryland; and
 - (2) full-time constables in the District Court of Maryland.
- (c) Other positions. The skilled service includes any other position that is specified by law to be in the service. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article §6-401).
- **SPECIAL APPOINTEES:** Except as otherwise provided by law, individuals in the following positions in the skilled service, professional service, management service, or executive service are considered special appointments:
 - (1) a position to which an individual is directly appointed by the Governor by an appointment that is not provided by the Maryland Constitution;
 - (2) a position to which an individual is directly appointed by the Board of Public Works:
 - (3) as determined by the Secretary, a position which performs a significant policy role or provides direct support to a member of the executive service;
 - (4) a position that is assigned to the Government House;
 - (5) a position that is assigned to the Governor of Office; and
 - (6) any other position that is specified by law to be a special appointment. (Annotated Code of Maryland State Personnel and Pensions Article § 6-405).
- **UNDER-UTILIZATION:** Having a lower number of protected group employees in the overall work force and within categories than would reasonably be expected by their availability in the relevant Civilian Labor Force.
- **UNIFORM POLICE:** Used exclusively for uniformed police positions at the Maryland State Police ó Not used for other police positions.
- **UTILIZATION ANALYSIS:** A statistical comparison of an agency workforce in various job categories with the relevant Civilian Labor Force.

Racial/Ethnic Categories

White (*not of Hispanic origin*): A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, North Africa.

African-American (*not of Hispanic origin*): A person having origin in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

American Indian or Alaskan Native: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of North or South America, including Central America, and who maintain cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.

Asian: A person having origin in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam.

Hispanic or Latino: A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of the Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

Multiracial: Two or more races.

Description of Job Categories

Officials and Administrators: Occupations in which employees set broad policies, exercise overall responsibility for execution of these policies or direct individual departments or special phases of the agency operations, or provide specialized consultation on a regional, district or area basis. Includes: department heads, bureau chiefs, division and fire chief and inspectors, examiners (bank, hearing, motor vehicle, warehouse), inspectors (construction, building, safety, rent-and-housing, fire, A.B.C. Board, license, dairy, livestock, transportation), assessors, tax appraisers and investigators, coroners, farm managers, and kindred workers.

<u>Professionals</u>: Occupations which require specialized and theoretical knowledge which is usually acquired through college training or through work experience and other training which provides comparable knowledge. Includes: personnel and labor relations workers, social workers, doctors, psychologists, registered nurses, economists, dieticians, lawyers, system analysts, accountants, engineers, employment and vocational rehabilitation counselors, teachers or instructors, police and fire captains and lieutenants, librarians, management analysts, airplane pilots and navigators, surveyors and mapping scientists, and kindred workers.

<u>Protective Service Workers</u>: Occupations in which workers are entrusted with public safety, security and protection from destructive forces. Includes: police patrol officers, fire fighters, guards, deputy sheriffs, bailiffs, correctional officers, detectives, marshals, harbor patrol officers, game and fish wardens, park rangers (*except maintenance*), and kindred workers.

Sworn police officer: means a law enforcement officer who in an official capacity is authorized by law to make arrests; and is within the Secretary salary setting authority.

Administrative Support: Occupations in which workers are responsible for internal and external communication, recording and retrieval of data and/or information and other paperwork required in an office. Includes: bookkeepers, messengers, clerk-typists, stenographers, court transcribers, hearing reporters, statistical clerks, dispatchers, license distributors, payroll clerks, office machine and computer operators, telephone operators, legal assistants, sales workers, cashiers, toll collectors, and kindred workers.

<u>Service-Maintenance</u>: Occupations in which workers perform duties which result in or contribute to the comfort, convenience, hygiene or safety of the general public or which contribute to the upkeep and care of buildings, facilities or grounds of public property. Workers in this group may operate machinery. Includes: chauffeurs, laundry and dry cleaning operatives, truck drivers, bus drivers, garage laborers, custodial employees, gardeners and grounds keepers, refuse collectors, construction laborers, park rangers, (*maintenance*), farm workers (*except managers*), craft apprentices/trainees/helpers, and kindred workers.

<u>Skilled Craft Workers</u>: Occupations in which workers perform jobs which require special manual skill and a thorough and comprehensive knowledge of the processes involved in the work, which is acquired through on-the-job training and experience or through apprenticeship or other formal training programs. Includes: mechanics and repairers, electricians, heavy equipment operators, stationary engineers, skilled machining occupations, carpenters, compositors and typesetters, power plant operators, water and sewage treatment plant operators, kindred workers.

<u>Technicians</u>: Occupations which require a combination of basic scientific or technical knowledge and manual skill which can be obtained through specialized post-secondary school education or through equivalent on-the-job training. Includes: computer programmers, drafters, survey and mapping technicians, licensed practical nurses, photographers, radio operators, technical illustrators, highway technicians, technicians (*medical*, *dental*, *electronic*, *physical sciences*), police and fire sergeants, inspectors (*production or processing inspectors*, *testers and weighers*), and kindred workers.