

1 Style and following the amendment, two more amendments were
2 offered. The result is that grammatically as it is at
3 present, it would appear that the "purpose or purposes of
4 which" refers to revenue rather than appropriations which is
5 what is intended. The amendment would make the sentence
6 read, "The budget law and all supplementary appropriation
7 laws shall be limited to the subject of budget appropria-
8 tions," their purpose and purposes and the requisite revenue.
9 Are there any questions?

10 Delegate Gallagher.

11 DELEGATE GALLAGHER: I think you have explained
12 it. It is merely a clarification of the intention of the
13 Committee, as was said before. In enacting this exception
14 in for supplementary appropriation laws we are trying to
15 avoid the difficulty that arose in the Panitz case, and I
16 think as a result of conferring with Delegate Case who
17 offered the original amendment that we have now clarified
18 it and the language is not ambiguous as it previously was.

19 THE PRESIDENT: Any further questions?

20 Are you ready for the question?

21 The question arises on the adoption of Amendment