

The question then being shall the previous question be now put.

It was decided in the affirmative.

The question then recurring upon the passage of the bill,

It was rejected for want of a Constitutional majority by yeas and nays as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Touchstone,	Wentz,
Stewart, Speaker,	Richards,	Blake,
Coad,	Mearns,	Pentland,
Boyer,	Sudler,	Bond, of B. city,
Beck,	Legg,	Chaisty,
Iglehart,	Smith, of Wor.,	Seibert,
Deale,	Albaugh,	Trimble,
Mitchell,	Dutrow,	Jordan,
Chapman,	Bowlus,	Worthington,
Biddison,	Maynard,	Harden,
Lowe,	Silver,	Jones,
Stewart, of Dor.,	Brown,	Fooks,
Johnson,	Moore,	Hopkins—40.
Davis, of Cecil,	Hubbard,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Nelson,	Conway,
Loker,	Sanner,	Coudy,
Bond, of Calvert,	Robb,	Herbert,
Slingluff,	Morse,	Rohrer,
Montague,	Latrobe,	Riggs,
Poteet,	Smith, of B. city,	Offutt,
Holmes,	Colton,	Conley,
Keech,	Mullin,	McCulloh,
Guy,	McElroy,	Kean,
Williams,	Harig,	Devecmon,
Wenner,	Markland,	Clark—34.
Byers,	Marshall,	

On motion of Mr. Biddison,

The vote by which the bill was rejected,

Was reconsidered.

The question then recurring upon the passage of the bill,

Mr. Biddison moved that the further consideration thereof be postponed, and the bill made the order of the day for tomorrow, 29th instant.

The question being upon concurring in the motion,

Mr. McElroy demanded the yeas and nays,

The demand being sustained,