while he acted as such. And he is hereby directed and required to yield up and deliver over unto the said *Schley*, so soon as he shall have been qualified to act as receiver as before mentioned, all the goods, wares and merchandise, books, papers, and effects of the said firm which may have been received by him the said *Williamson*, or which he may now hold or have under his control. And it is further ordered, that the injunction heretofore granted in this case be and the same is hereby continued in full force until the hearing or further order.

After which *Jacob Schley*, having filed his bond with approved surety as required, proceeded to act as authorized; and on the 8th May 1826 made a report, on oath, in which he stated, that he had obtained possession of the books and papers of the firm, and a large amount of their goods and effects, which, as he represented, it would be most for the benefit of all concerned to have sold at auction on a credit.

9*th May*, 1826.—Bland, *Chancellor*.—Upon consideration of the report of the receiver, it is ordered, that *Jacob Schley*, the said receiver, be and he is hereby authorized and required to sell the goods, wares and merchandise in the said report mentioned on a credit of four months for approved endorsed notes, according to the usual course and manner of selling goods at auction in the city of Baltimore. And he is hereby further authorized and directed to sell any other goods, wares and merchandise, being the joint property of the said parties, which may come to his hands, in such manner as he may deem most beneficial and best for the interest of all concerned.

It was also ordered on the same day, that the exceptions to the defendants' answer should stand for hearing on the first day of June then next. And on the 17th of July following, on the admission of the defendants' solicitor, it was ordered that the exceptions be sustained, and that the defendants make a more full and perfect answer on or before the first day of the next term. On the same 17th July, the plaintiff by his petition stated, that a large sum had been collected by the receiver, which he prayed might be distributed among the creditors of the firm; sundry creditors of the firm also filed their petitions in this case, alleging that the receiver had in his hands a large amount, which they prayed might be applied in satisfaction of their claims. And at the