

1 in another area.

2 JUDGE FAIRBANKS: I am not sure that that is
3 necessarily a sound principle. If you are going to have
4 a People's Court which is divided into districts and
5 possibly contemplating more than one county, why is it
6 necessary to vary the civil jurisdiction, which is really
7 all you are talking about when you are talking about
8 varying, because you certainly couldn't have different
9 criminal jurisdictions, and you certainly couldn't have
10 different traffic jurisdiction, so what, really, all you
11 are talking about is your civil jurisdiction. I don't
12 see any logical reason, if you are going to upgrade the
13 People's Court and put qualified people in them through-
14 out the State why the jurisdiction civilly should not be
15 the same throughout the State. As I recall, we recom-
16 mended from our court, and the Case Committee recommended,
17 a uniform limit of jurisdiction, which, as I recall, was --
18 I don't believe the Case Committee did pick/^{up}that recommenda-
19 tion. We recommended \$5,000 straight across the board,
20 exclusive, up to a thousand, et cetera. I don't suggest
21 that we get into that in this Constitution, but my point