

1 that this is an important problem which should be given
2 recognition. I move, consequently, that this section be
3 referred back to the Committee, with instructions to
4 include the wording of the Section 20 of the Connecticut
5 Constitution, which appears on Page 9, or a similar ex-
6 pression.

7 The Committee discussed, or has considered
8 this and rejected this provision or a similar one for
9 two reasons, that it is redundant. I think it is no
10 more redundant than some of the other aspects of the Bill
11 of Rights which are covered by the Federal Constitution.
12 You may say that there is nothing here that isn't covered
13 by the Federal Constitution, but nevertheless, I think
14 we should have it in our Constitution.

15 I am not impressed by the argument that the
16 terms used are indefinite in meaning and indeterminate
17 in scope. I think civil rights -- the two indefinite
18 terms alleged to be indefinite are civil rights and
19 political rights, and I think those are certainly clear
20 enough to be definitive, and so that is my motion.

21 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?