

1 don't understand -- be subject to segregation in the
2 exercise of civil or political rights -- I would much
3 prefer to have Dr. Jenkins' own simple language which
4 he proposed at the last meeting, persons discriminated
5 against because of race, religion or color. That, I
6 understand. I don't understand the Connecticut language.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Judge Adkins?

8 JUDGE ADKINS: I rather like the Connecticut
9 Constitution language. I am not impressed with the
10 argument it is not definite. There never was a constitu-
11 tion written or can be written with definition. You are
12 writing guidelines for a long period of time. That
13 argument has been used many times here in this debate.
14 It leaves me a little cold. If you tried to write the
15 Constitution of the United States in precise language,
16 you would never have the document we have. You have to
17 allow flexibility. I like this language better than
18 the proposal of Dr. Jenkins, because it is somewhat more
19 precise. I don't think there is much problem about this
20 language, and I think in view of the current scene -- I
21 realize you don't usually state constitutional principles