

1 I thought there would be. I think it is one of the
2 places in the Constitution where in 1967 we ought to
3 give recognition to the changes that have occurred in
4 the past hundred years, and I don't think adopting the
5 Federal language under which a lot of these changes
6 occurred is sufficient, in view of the fact that our
7 Constitution contains, the present one, contains so
8 many allusions in the other direction. I think it is a
9 gesture we should make. That is all I think it is. From
10 a legal standpoint, I can't see that it will change the
11 case law or have any practical effect, above the equal
12 protection law.

13 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Martineau?

14 MR. MARTINEAU: I would like to find out if
15 the proponents of this Connecticut section, whether
16 anyone who has any knowledge of the Connecticut section,
17 has any idea of whether civil or political rights encompasses
18 private action in any way. I think this statement might
19 be more appropriate if somehow you were discussing dis-
20 crimination by any agency of the State or discrimination
21 by some aspect of the State Government. What would