

MR. GENTRY: More and more, the sentiment of the proponents seems to be swinging around to rewriting the statute virtually verbatim into the Constitution. I see no use for it. I think it would be the only area where we would attempt to codify in the Constitution. I just would be against the inclusion, and the Committee is against the inclusion of an express wiretap law in the Constitution as being too specific, not a broad-based principle which the rest of our Bill of Rights attempts to be.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bond?

MR. BOND: Well, the commentary of the model says flatly the Supreme Court says wiretapping is not included under the language proposed by the Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: I can't hear you when you drop your voice.

MR. BOND: The model states, Umstead versus United States, the Supreme Court case, specifically says wiretapping is not included in the language proposed by the Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: Judge Adkins?