~-

MR. MINDEL: That is correct.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any discussion of that point?

MR. MINDEL: I might point out that the model Constitution provides for right of trial by an impartial jury but makes no reference to unanimous consent. It appears to me that the modern trend is getting away from having a constitutional provision by permitting that particular feature to be decided by the Legislature.

MR. SCANLAN: Many States don't require it, at least in their constitutions, and it seems to me that this day and age, when opinions of the Supreme Court are liberalizing, or expanding the protections, perhaps legitimate protections to which an accused is entitled, we shouldn't freeze the hands of the government of this State sometime in the future if they thought that because of abuses in the jury system, a decision by ten jurors would be sufficient in the criminal case. They should be permitted to reach that conclusion without their hands being tied by the Constitution, and the mother country, England, which gave birth to the unanimous