

1 DR. BURDETTE: A question. It was commented
2 that for obvious reasons the Governor should not have a
3 veto. I am wondering if the Committee looked into this.
4 It is in the Constitution now. It occurs to me if the
5 Legislature were to reduce the Governor's budget by a
6 very large portion, or began to increase some salaries --

7 MR. CASE: I can't hear you.

8 DR. BURDETTE: If the Governor's budget were
9 extensively decreased by the Legislature, this might be
10 very appropriate for the Governor to have a veto. I don't
11 know if it has ever happened. If it did, why shouldn't
12 it require that he do such a thing? I am simply question-
13 ing the elimination of the Governor's veto, which I
14 realize is in the old Constitution.

15 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Case?

16 MR. CASE: Well, the Governor, it is the
17 Governor's budget, and he proposes and the Legislature
18 disposes, I suppose. That is the answer.

19 DR. BURDETTE: The argument I made is the
20 Governor had a very strong reason, and if they ripped up
21 his budget --