

1 thing to say that everyone shall have the right to call in
2 a jury of his peers and have determined whether he is right
3 or wrong or whether he owes money or whether he has to con-
4 tinue his marriage, or what, but the provision doesn't work
5 that way. It applies actually to a very limited class of
6 cases and that is suits at law where a right to a jury
7 existed at the time of the original Constitution, that is,
8 suits for money damages in a law Court.

9 In other words, a department store can sue you to
10 make you pay for the shirt you purchased, but if your wife
11 wants to take the shirt off your back in an equity or domes-
12 tic proceeding, you have no right to say that you want
13 twelve of your peers to decide whether she is right or wrong.
14 Workmen's Compensation cases and other situations where the
15 legislature has enacted an administrative remedy, in those
16 instances, an employee is not entitled as a matter of con-
17 stitutional right to have a jury decide whether he is 100
18 per cent or only 50 per cent disabled. That is up to the
19 legislature, what right he has in that regard.

20 MR. MILLER: Would the lady yield for a question?
21 It seems to me I saw an article somewhere recently that the