

1 language from what it was.

2 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Haile?

3 MR. HAILE: I have always held the position we
4 should retain this.

5 DR. TEMPLETON: Our Chairman gave a good pre-
6 sentation.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Any further questions?

8 MR. HARGROVE: In continuing the language of the
9 present constitutional provisions, we now, in doing this,
10 would have to look at what the new Constitution will have
11 in it. I think, in view of that, wouldn't it pose a rather
12 serious problem where we say, in the several Courts of
13 law in this State, because it seems to me, under the lan-
14 guage here, you could just as easily demand a jury trial
15 in the District Court, under the constitutional provision,
16 and perhaps could get it. Would it be better just to leave
17 out, in the several Courts, and it would then be up to the
18 legislature to say, well, you could have, such as you do
19 now in the People's Court, you could have a jury trial, but
20 you have to request a jury trial and the case would auto-
21 matically go to a Court where they have facilities, rather