

1 else. However, Mr. Lasson and I believe also Mr. Noonberg
2 as recently as yesterday consulted with the present
3 Attorney General who not only is the Attorney General,
4 but happens himself, I happen to know, to have been
5 involved in a great deal of the litigation over the Ober
6 Bill and is quite conversant with it. He was of the
7 opinion that there would be no conflict and that if the
8 contents of Section 11 were deleted from the Constitution
9 that the Ober Bill could still stand.

10 It is, incidentally, under challenge in the
11 courts right now but not on the basis of any conflict
12 with the State Constitution.

13 THE CHAIRMAN: You mean the whole Ober Act, not
14 this point?

15 MRS. BOTHE: The whole Ober Act is being tested
16 as a violation of the Federal Constitution.

17 The question of whether the affirmation or
18 statements required by the Ober Act are in conflict with
19 the Maryland Constitution was raised in a case called
20 Shub versus Simpson, is it, and there the Courts sidestepped
21 it because in that instance the plaintiff desired to file