

1 this meeting relative to a reconsideration of one or both
2 of those recommendations, but for the moment assuming that
3 those recommendations are to hold, there seems to be no
4 logical reason why the Board of Public Works need to be
5 an inherent part of the Constitution. We think it is
6 contrary to the whole concept, the whole underlying
7 philosophy of the Executive Article which is basically
8 to create a strong Executive to the extent that you hedge
9 him around with the necessity of having his decisions
10 subject to review by other officials of the State Govern-
11 ment. To that extent you are weakening the underlying
12 philosophy which we have heretofore adopted.

13 I think that if you haven't had a chance to
14 read the Report, you have seen basically the position that
15 we are taking in the matter. We therefore recommend that
16 the Board of Public Works be eliminated from the Consti-
17 tution.

18 This is not to say that the Board of Public
19 Works itself need necessary be abolished. If the Legis-
20 lature in its wisdom thinks there is a proper place for
21 such a body, it is certainly free to continue it as such.