

1 then have the Chair rule as to when, if ever, it will
2 come up. In view of the fact that we have now voted a
3 two-year rule with respect to Section 10, it seems to me
4 incongruous that the governor, who is being strengthened
5 in every aspect of this piece of paper we are trying to
6 bring out here, should have the same residency require-
7 ment, namely two years, which was part and parcel of
8 the Fourth Report of the Committee on the Executive Depart-
9 ment, the point under Roman I.2, which was accepted last
10 night without any genuine debate on the point. I voted
11 for it at that time, and therefore I think perhaps I am in
12 a position to ask the vote be reconsidered because for rea-
13 sons I would state more extensively if I were given that
14 chance from the ruling of the Chair, I think it is not
15 only incongruous to have the same limitation, but also it
16 would set a very dangerous, perhaps a very dangerous
17 precedent for the State to follow, so I would like to
18 move that the vote on Point 2 under Roman 1 of the Report
19 of the Committee on the Executive Department be recon-
20 sidered in respect of this two-year requirement for governor.

21 THE CHAIRMAN: I am going to rule that out of