

ed shall require grain to be weighed, and it shall be optional with the seller to have his grain weighed or not under the law as he may desire ;”

Mr. Stirling proposed the following amendment to the amendment :

By adding, “but in such case the said grain shall be sold without being weighed by any person ;”

Determined in the negative.

The question upon the amendment was then determined in the negative by yeas and nays as follow :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Bayless,	Briscoe,	Lankford,
Billingsley,	Jenkins,	Purnell—6.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Sellmaa, Pt.	Eichelberger,	Ricaud,
Bayne,	Firey,	Rowles,
Campbell,	Given,	Stirling,
Carroll,	Kemp,	Tome,
Crane,	Ohr,	Willis—15.

Mr. Lankford proposed the following amendment :

“Nothing contained in this article shall be construed to take away from any owner of grain the right by written order to his agent or consignee, desiring him to sell without inspection, to sell and deliver the same without complying with the provisions of this article by weighing or measuring, but it shall be optional with the seller ;”

Determined in the negative by yeas and nays as follow :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Bayne,	Jenkins,	Purnell,
Bayless,	Lankford,	Waters—7.
Billingsley,		

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Sellman, Pt.	Firey,	Rowles,
Campbell,	Given,	Stirling,
Carroll,	Kemp,	Tome,
Crane,	Ohr,	Willis—14.
Eichelberger,	Ricaud,	

Mr Lankford proposed the following amendment :

“Nothing in this article shall be construed to apply to Somerset county ;”