when at the same time he said he noticed one of them to come into Court drunk.

6th. Have you any knowledge of the number of presentments under the Sunday liquor law, and for keeping houses of ill fame, how many were settled by order of said Court without being brought to trial, and what proportion were

second presentments?

Answer. In 1859 about 150 for violations of the Sunday law, and about 260 for keeping bawdy-houses; in 1858, I suppose the number was double, and presume about one-half was settled upon payment of fine and costs, the balance by payment of costs and stetts; I do not pretend to be accurate in this statement having no data with me except the number of presentments in 1859.

7th. State the usual course pursued in relation to forfeitures in case of bail, and whether you know of any instance of a bondsman being compelled to pay the full sum of the recognisance when forfeited or standing committed by order of the Court under the act of 1854, and what is the amount usually paid by bondsmen if the accused fails to appear, and what it costs a party who assaults another if he absent himself from trial?

Answer. I have no recollection now of ever collecting a forfeiture; they are invariably compromised on payment of a

nominal fine of from 25 cents to 20 dollars and costs.

8th. State if Judge Stump keeps any minute other than the Clerk's docket of the forfeitures, or ever during your term of office gave the collection any attention whatever?

Answer. None, that I know of.

9th. State whether parties have not been permitted to offer and be received as bail who were notoriously of bad character and destitute of property, and whether these same persons have not been taken as bail repeatedly, and parties of notoriously bad character relieved upon their bond, and thereby escape punishment.

Answer. They have.

10th. State if you know any one or more against whom the States Attorney has publicly protested as bondsman in the Criminal Court, and whether the Judge proceeded to take the same party or parties as bail and released notorious offenders?

Answer. The States Attorney publicly protested against taking John Hinesly as bail for police officer Lemmon, but the Judge ordered him to be taken; I do not know this of my personal knowledge, but my deputy who was present so informed me.

the state of the s