

Mr. Tyson—May I be allowed to suggest regarding Mr. Williams' proposition at the Corn Exchange, that Mr. Garrett did answer and denied the charge.

Mr. Dennis—The point is, whether or not the charges alleged before Mr. Garrett's administration can be entertained by this Committee?

Mr. Williams—The charge which I was about to make dates in 1856. I was induced to second Mr. Tyson's resolution at the Corn Exchange, by relying on the facts proved by Mr. Gould and others. In regard to the recent discrimination, I can give no other explanation than that which has been already made.

Mr. Dennis—I make the motion that the Committee will entertain no charge anterior to Mr. Garrett's connexion with the Company. Agreed.

After some discussion between Mr. Vickers and Mr. Garrett, the Committee adjourned until Thursday.

ANNAPOLIS, *February 10, 1860.*

Committee met to-night, at half-past eight, in McCullough's. W. Seth, chairman of the committee, presiding.

Mr. Tyson—Before the examination of witnesses commences I would ask what the basis of the examination is to be, and if we are to be confined to the decision of the committee the other evening, which restricted the inquiry to the original charge of discrimination against the Baltimore and Ohio railroad during the year 1859.

The Chairman—By the decision of the committee at last meeting we are clearly restricted to that point.

Mr. Tyson—I have no objection to any examination which goes to disprove the charge of discrimination during the year 1859, but I do object to the putting of any question beyond that period, as the decision of the committee clearly restricts us to that point.

*John S. Barry* called and sworn:

Mr. Garrett—What is your profession, sir?

Witness—A dry goods commission merchant—selling goods by the package.

Mr. Garrett—Do you deal with the western cities?