MARYLAND GAZETT

H U R S D A T Υ, November 2, 1786:

[Concluded from our last.]

To DANIEL of ST. THO. JENIFER, Efquire.

ONTRARY to your own knowledge of facts, you have taken infinite pains to induce the public to believe, that C the commissioners had intentionally delayed the fettlement of their accounts, to avoid the scrutiny of the intendant. To give this charge an appearance of plausibility and shew of truth, you have published my letter of the 1st of September 1784;—You have alleged that the commillioners knew, that they were indebted to the flate in the year 1784;—And then proceed, with a itring of tavourite questions, with as much seeming simplicity and ignorance, as if you really were not fertible that the answers which the questions must receive, will evince the truth of facts, the reverie of

which you are so anxious to establish. For the information and satisfaction of the public, I will give a candid narrative of facts, which will enable them to form a proper opinion on the subject. The board of committioners of conficated ettates confiiled of different members at different periods of time . The great fales of conflicated property was observe, in 1781, 1782 and 1783. made, as you Mott of those fales were made upon the sour of the occasion, to answer the most pressing and important public fervices; and I believe that it will be acknowledged, that during that period, the commissioners could not properly arrange and thate all the accounts of their transactions, when it is considered, that up on emergencies, it was necessary that they should ad and feil property feparately, and it did not often happen that they were all in Annapolis together for any confiderable time; and their private bufinets could not be tetally neglected. The property fold by them was disposed of under a variety of acts of affembly, upon different terms of payment, for the redemption of different emissions of paper currency, and tiate fecurities, and to raife ready money for the immediate demands and uses of government. The whole was fold at public vendue, except in a few instances, where they had the direction of the intendant to make private fales. In 1782, property was fold to a confiderable amount, on short credit, to raise money for the recruiting service, then under the superintendence and direction of major-general Smallwood; and also for the protection of our bay trade. This property confitted of real and personal estates, and was sometimes fold in small parcels to many different persons, some of whom paid the cash, others passed their bonds, some did Loth, and others could not be prevailed on to do either. The bonds which were peffed for property fold to raife money for the recruiting fervice, were taken as the laws directed, payable to major general Smallwood; some of the purchasers of this property remitted cash occationally to Annapolis, which, in the absence of the commissioners, was paid to general Smallwood, by the person by whom it was sent; and in some instances, the cash thus remitted was not accompa-

mistake not, to you also In flating the commissioners accounts, difficulties unavoidably ensued. Delay also proceeded from another cause,—the length of time which elapsed between the fales and the completion of the furveys of the property fold. It has already been remarked, that most of the manors were fuld before they were farveyed and laid off into lots, and the returns of the forreys were not all made until sometime in the year 1785. The accounts of those sales could not be closed without those returns, as the property was fold by the acre, and the amount of each purchase could not be ascertained until it was known how much land each purchaser had bought. The bonds had been taken for a gross sum, so as to cover the

nied by an account, specifying the purchasers who were to be credited with it. Some sew payments

were made in this manner to the treasury ; -and if I

the quantity of acres for which it had been given; the price per acre was ascertained in it. It was the determination, and the wish of the commissioners, to finish every sale, and close every account, as far as they could, not only in cases when the entries depended upon their own transactions, but where they depended upon the transactions of others, in order that those whose business it should afterwards be to examine and adjust their books and accounts, should have but little trouble or perplexity. I take it for granted that you will not now fay, that these circumstances were unknown to you. You have published now laws to you. lished my letter to prove that the difficulties suggested were not supposed to exist, by me in September 1784. You know very well that that letter was written foon after colonel Ramfey's removal from Annapolis to Charles-town. When he removed, fome of the papers which belonged to the office were accidentally carried away with his private papers, which circumstance I knew nothing of, at the time of writing the letter. This letter, which you have triumphantly and critically published upon a supposition that it would operate to my disadvantage, can only serve to shew my anxiety to have the accounts fettled. Mr. Hollyday and myself had made a confiderable progress in the business, when, besides a number of accounts which remained open for the reasons before premised, we found that others could not be closed until the papers in possession of colonel Ramsey were returned. We wrote to him for them, but before they were received, the act to establish funds, &c. was passed. These circumstances were communicated to you from time to time, both verbally and in writing; and yet you will perverfely and obitinately pertitt, by uncandid mifrepresentations, in endeavouring to inculcate the opinion that the delay of the commissioners to tettle their accounts was intentional, and that my attempt to destroy the proof adduced in support of it by atale of unbeard of difficulties and perplexities, shews more confidence in the writer, than respect to the reader! What confidence can an impartial public have in the affertion or representation of a man to destitute of candour, and who pays fo little regard to truth? For the truth of what I have advanced I appeal to the returns of the furveyors, now in the land-office, to their letters which I now have in my possession, to the accounts in the treasury and auditor's effice; and to books and papers which were in the intendant's office.

To remove these difficulties nothing but time and attention to the builness was wanting; assistance was unnecessary, and the attention which the commissioners paid to the business, was the magic by wnich the difficulties were furmounted and vanished Had there been no impediment, the accounts mignt have been closed in the year 1784. By the act to establish funds, &c. time was given to those pur-chasers who had not bonded, until the first of April, 1785, to pass their bonds; and in case bonds should not be given, the intendant was directed to order fuits against them, or a refale of the property upon the terms of the act. The draught of bonds directed by the act to be made on the first of June, was postponed by the intendant's advertisement until the tenth of July following; fuits were of course de layed until that time, and it was not until the tenth arose from the circumstances suggested, and delay of September that the commissioners received your directions to commence fuits generally, and they had then feveral refales to make, some of which were made late in the month of November. In December following their transactions were finally closed. You affert, that many of the commissioners sales remain still unsettled. When their books were delivered to the auditor, there were only five or fix instances where suits were not commenced, and it was with your approbation that they were not commenced. It those cases remain yet unsettled, it is because the finishing of those transactions has been committed to you. You have asked if the perplexities attending the fales in 1781, 1782, and 1783, were not over before the year 1786? It is answered. that the business was finished in 1785, and if you will look at the books and accounts in your possession, you will find that property was fold in 1781, 1782, and 1783, and that the surveys of some of this property were not returned until late in the year 1785; and you will also find, that some of the sales of property in those years were set aside by you, and resales directed, after the passage of the act to esta-blish funds, &c. in 1785. If you were as industrious in your inquiries after truth as you have been in hunting up frivolous charges against the commisfioners, you might satisfy yourself of the propriety of their conduct, without stuffing a news-paper with fuch filly and impertinent quellions. You have af-

purchases, and for this reason were retained by the served, that from the operation of the act to establish commissioners until they could endorse on each bond such as the state in which the affairs of the commissioners were, coercion cou'd not be fooner uted than it was This is an affertion without any foundation in truth; and if fuits had been commenced to May term 1785, it fellows pretty clearly that judgments migh have been obtai ed fooner than if they were delayed until the October term following As a further poof t at your affertion, that these difficulties and embarraliments are now fuggetted to fuit the prefent occasi it, is groundless, I will here subj in an extract of the report of the committee a pointed to examine the accounts and proceedings of the commissioners, during the last fession of assembly "It appears to the committee; that much time was required to comprete this business and finally settle the accounts; and by the information of the commissioner, much delay has been created in the prosecution of it by a variety of causes; the want of early surveys; pur hasers paying money into different departmens for public uses, in which cases it has been diffi. ult to ascertain the proper credits and balances; by disputes in which partialar parts of the property were in-volved; and by that part of the act to establish funds, &c. which directed a draught of bonds

"The committee in this ir quiry have been attentive to the in ereft of the state, and upon an impartial view of the conduct of the commissioners, are of opinion, that they have discharged their trust with ability and integrity."

After the proofs I have given, I cannot suppose

that any man who will exercise his reason, con be of opinion that the commissioners intentionally delayed toe fettlement of their accounts. In my opinion no room is left for doubt. Stupidity may misapprehend, and sophutry may sometimes seem to elude the force of reasoning, but the plain evidence of tacts is incontrovertible. You have afferted, that the state has lost considerably by the delay of the commissioners to settle their accounts; this is a general and groundless affertion. The public has futtained no los from the conduct of the commisfioners. If you mean that a lofs has been furtained by the relates of property which you directed, it is certainly true; but this I is cannot, oy any rational being, be im, uted to the committorers. If the purchasers at the first sales were really insolvent and unable to pay, as you have pronounced them, the lofs is not imputable to any one; if they were able to pay; or it any fale was improp ily fet afide, is requires no great cepth of fagacity to know to woom it ought to be imputed.

Your extravagance of conjecture has prompted you to aflign other reasons for the delay of the commismoners; they were inde'ted to the public in 1784. and therefore delayed to fettle; their tranfactions were in such contesion that the house of delegates could not obtain the receffary information; and to avoid the scrutiny of the intendant was an object not to be missed. The commissioners never paid fuch particular deference or respect to your character or opinions, as would have induced them to reinquish a just claim, because you were of opinion they were not entitled to it; fo that your ferutiny was never an o ject with them. No mor-tal can give a tolerable reason who the commisoners should, at any period of time, have wished to procrammate a settlement of their accounts. was the information which the house of delegates wanted and could not obtain? They applied to the commissioners for none but what was furnished. If they looked to you for i formation respecting the transactions of the commissioners, we can easily account why they were deceived.

The business which you boast to have transacted in a few months was plain failing. It had been prepared to your hands by the commissioners. Many of your fales were private, without trouble or expence, and not very advantageous to the public, for whom you acted as trust e. Instances can be pointed out where property has been fild for less than one half, and even below a third of its real value. Your method of stating your accounts has been as expeditious and unprecedented, as the manner of your faies was eafy and beneficial to the purchaser. Bonds have been taken by guets for a ge sa sum, the purchaser charged accordingly, and a commiffion illegally and unjuilly drawn upon rie whole amount, aitnough it may hereaf er be neceffay, fire the reasons I have already given, upon a proper jet-tlement of your accounts, to make confidenced de-ductions. It is not a little wonderful, that in paying yourfelf the commission, that the dotteine of dif-

+ George Digges, John Bracco Zepbariah Turner

The board was first constituted late in the month of February 1781; the members were colonel Uriab Ferrett, Mr. Clement Hollyday, and colonel Nathaniel Ramfey. In the month of July following, colonel Forrest resigned, and I was appointed to fill the wateney occasioned by his Mr. Hollydav, colonel Ramjey, and myfelf, transacted the business until November 1,82, when a refigration by me became necessary on my acceptance of a feat in council. From that time, Mr. Hollyday and colonel Ramfry continued the bufiness, until the resignation of the later sometime in August or September 1784. In September, I was re-appointed, and the tufiness of the commission overs was finished by Mr. Hellyday and myelf, and our accounts closed, in December 1785: