

postpone the whole Declaration of Rights until Friday.

Mr. SANDS. If those asking postponement will agree to the exception of these three articles, I will vote for that with great pleasure. If that is not accepted, I must, under the circumstances, vote against the postponement.

The amendment was rejected.

The question then recurred upon the motion to postpone until Friday next at 12 o'clock.

Mr. HARRIS demanded the yeas and nays, and they were ordered.

The question being taken the result was—yeas 39, nays 42—as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Goldsborough, President; Belt, Berry of Prince George's, Billingsley, Blackiston, Bond, Briscoe, Brown, Chambers, Clarke, Crawford, Dail, Daniel, Davis of Charles, Dennis, Edelen, Harwood, Henkle, Hodson, Hollyday, Horsey, Johnson, Jones of Somerset, King, Larsh, Lee, Mace, Marbury, Mitchell, Miller, Morgan, Murray, Parran, Peter, Purnell, Smith of Dorchester, Smith of Worcester, Thomas, Wilmer—39.

Nays—Messrs. Abbott, Annan, Audouin, Baker, Carter, Cunningham, Davis of Washington, Dellinger, Earle, Ecker, Galloway, Greene, Hatch, Hebb, Hoffman, Hopkins, Hopper, Jones of Cecil, Keefer, Kennard, Markey, McComas, Mullikin, Negley, Nyman, Parker, Pugh, Robinette, Russell, Sands, Schley, Scott, Sneary, Stirling, Stockbridge, Swope, Sykes, Thruston, Todd, Valliant, Wickard, Wooden—42.

So the motion was rejected.

Mr. PUGH, when his name was called, said: I would be perfectly willing to have voted in favor of the postponement of the three articles referred to, but I am not willing to vote to postpone the whole subject, because I think it will nearly amount to an adjournment of the Convention. I therefore vote—no.

Mr. SANDS, when his name was called, said: I would gladly have voted to permit those three articles to go over, but as the report of the committee to be offered to-morrow morning will have to lie over and we shall have no work to do, I vote—no.

The result of the vote having been announced,

On motion of Mr. THRUSTON,
The Convention adjourned.

NINETEENTH DAY:

WEDNESDAY, May 25th, 1864.

The Convention met at 11 A. M.

Prayer by the Rev. Mr. Patterson.

Present at the call of the roll, the following members:

Messrs. Goldsborough, President; Abbott, Annan, Audouin, Baker, Barron, Belt, Berry of Prince George's, Billingsley, Blackiston, Bond, Briscoe, Brooks, Brown, Carter, Clarke, Crawford, Cunningham, Cushing, Dail, Daniel, Davis of Charles, Davis of Washington,

Dellinger, Earle, Ecker, Edelen, Farrow, Galloway, Greene, Harwood, Hatch, Hebb, Henkle, Hodson, Hoffman, Hollyday, Hopkins, Hopper, Horsey, Jones of Cecil, Jones of Somerset, Keefer, Kennard, King, Lee, Marbury, Markey, McComas, Mitchell, Miller, Morgan, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Noble, Nyman, Parker, Parran, Peter, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Robinette, Russell, Sands, Smith of Worcester, Sneary, Stirling, Stockbridge, Schley, Schlosser, Scott, Smith of Carroll, Smith of Dorchester, Swope, Sykes, Thomas, Thruston, Todd, Valliant, Wickard, Wilmer, Wooden—85.

The proceedings of yesterday were read and approved.

Mr. VALLIANT submitted the following order:

Ordered, That one hundred and thirty copies of the Rules and Orders for the government of the Convention be printed in pamphlet form for the use of the members.

The consideration of which was postponed until to-morrow.

Mr. STIRLING submitted the following order:

Ordered, That the Committee on Accounts be authorized to pay to Mr. Garmon, of Washington county, for services rendered to the Committee on the Bill of Rights, such per diem as they may think reasonable.

Mr. STIRLING said: There was an order offered the other day for the appointment of the gentleman named in this order, as an additional clerk, and that order was lost. He had already performed some service in that capacity, and I therefore have offered this order.

Mr. SCOTT. Was the gentleman employed by order of the Convention? We had committee clerks appointed to do the work of the committees.

Mr. STIRLING. So far from that being the case, at the time this service was rendered, not only were the committee clerks engaged, but my friend the Reading Clerk, rendered considerable assistance. It was a long document, and we wished to get it finished that day. It was an emergency to have it copied at once, and I found the clerks employed. The order leaves it discretionary with the committee. It is not binding upon them.

Mr. BARRON. I hope the committee will pay the gentleman if he rendered any service to this Convention. I am in favor at all times of paying individuals for their labor.

Mr. KING. I have understood that some of the committee clerks were sitting here and were never called upon. They were tired of sitting here, and wanted the work at the very time that these services are alleged to have been performed. I do not see any necessity for cumbering the committee with it at all.

The order was agreed to—yeas 46; noes not counted.