

Claimants

against whom

Reasons why not passed

Est of James Dick

Anthony Stewart

Wants explanation, and also not admissible for want of vouchers & affidavits

Charles Stewart Stewart & Co
and James Stewart
Anthony Stewart

Samuel Julian Walter

Wants explanation & proof, and the obligee ought to be paid & Bond taken up, before the one security be satisfied out of the Estate of the other, as such satisfaction would be no bar to the obligee's right to take his remedy against the other security.

James Stewart, Secy for
Anthony Stewart

Anthony Stewart

Wants explanation & better proof, and the Bond ought to be paid & taken up before the claim can be admitted.

Bennet & Keale

Alexander Lawson & Co

William Smith Secy to Bank
Hewson to A. Hooge

East Henry Hewson

Apply to A. Lawson & Co for payment. The Bond ought to be paid and taken up before the claim is admissible.

George Fitzhugh

Daniel Julian & Walter

Probate wanting by Geo. Fitzhugh, that he has received no satisfaction from Daniel Julian or indemnification for the said claim.

Hambleton Davidson

Robert Christie Junr

Wants explanation & information.

Clement Sewell

Robert & Alexander

No Probate that A. Alexander received the money, & W. Sewell only makes a Memorandum of his belief not upon oath. This being a private debt against John Lee is inadmissible as a claim against the company. (same)

George W. Gaudet

Tottenham Company

Thurds & Mathison

Ditto

Clement Sewell Admr
of Mr. Smith

James Chalmer

It appears by Mr. Chalmer's Books and other vouchers, that there is nothing due the Estate of Mr. Smith or acknowledgment of their accounts.