

By a transcript from the Records of Baltimore County Court it appears, that a certain Edmund Reed was fined by the said Court, at September Term 1826 the sum of sixteen dollars for selling spirituous liquors without License.

The Honble Charles H. Hanson Associate Judge of the said Court, states, that upon a careful examination into the character of the Defendant in this case, and other circumstances which have been made known to the Court upon the trial and since the conviction, and satisfied that the public has sustained no injury by the act charged against him, but as the letter of the Law gives no discretion to the Judges, but that of recommending to the mercy of His Excellency, I am induced to suggest to your Excellency a remission of the fine. We do, therefore, hereby, remit the said fine.

Jos. Kent
Wm Stewart
Robt. A. Archer
David Martin

By a transcript from the Records of Baltimore City Court it appears, that a certain William J. Mitchell was fined by the said Court, at June Term 1826 the sum of four thousand nine hundred and sixty dollars, for harbouring Gus Prince and James Whitney, apprentices to Mrs Crockett.

The Honble Nicholas Brice Chief Judge of the said Court states, that the Court being informed that the Traders have made full compensation to the Mistress of the apprentices, and also paid the expensy of the prosecution, the Court have agreed to recommend to His Excellency a remission of the moiety of the fine which accrued to the State, in this case, which, on their behalf I now respectfully do. We do, therefore, hereby, remit the moiety of the said fine which accrued to the State.

Jos. Kent
Wm Stewart
Robt. A. Archer
David Martin

By the petition of Joseph G. Nesbit of Cecil County it appears, that at the Spring Term of Cecil County Court, in the year 1826, he was convicted of selling a quart of Whiskey on a Sunday, and sentenced to pay the fine fixed by Law for that offence. The Petitioner alleges that the liquor was sold as he supposed at the time, to oblige the persons who purchased it, and who subsequently, through malice, procured his prosecution. He avers that when he sold said liquor he had no view of evading or violating the Law, and he states that the Store at which said liquor was sold, and in which he had a concern, has been twice robbed, and that by that and other losses and misfortunes he has been reduced in his circumstances and is wholly unable to pay said fine. He therefore, prays for a remission of the said fine. And the Court having stated, that they have confidence in the Petitioner's statement, and having, therefore, recommended a remission of the said fine. We do, hereby, remit the same.

Jos. Kent
Wm Stewart
Robt. A. Archer
David Martin