

that at the time of the said forfeiture the Writers had been absent from the State  
for a long time; that he is very poor and unable to pay the said forfeiture;  
for which execution is now in the hands of the Sheriff of said County. — he,  
therefore prays a remission thereof. And the said Court, having recommended  
a remission of the said forfeiture, and stated that they believe the facts set  
forth by the petitioner to be true. — We do, hereby, remit the said forfeiture

Jos. Kent  
Jos. Gabby  
Wm Stewart  
David Martin

By the petition of William Dent and Wilfred Nottingham of  
Charles County, accompanied by a transcript from the Records of the County Court  
of said County, it appears, that they entered into a recognizance in the sum of  
\$25 each, with a certain Samuel Jones in the sum of \$50 for the appearance  
of the said Jones before the said County Court at March Term 1824, and  
for his good behaviour to Amos J. Seppan, which said recognizance was  
entered forfeited at the following August Term. The Petitioners state, that  
at the County Court, when the said Jones was to have appeared, he was confined  
to his Bed by indisposition, and immediately thereafter died; that the said  
Amos J. Seppan did not appear to renew the Oath of the peace, nor was any  
return made against the said Jones; that an execution has been issued  
against them to enforce payment of the said forfeiture, they, therefore,  
pray a remission of the said forfeiture against them and their principals.  
And the said Court having recommended a compliance with their prayer  
— We do, hereby, remit the same.

Jos. Kent  
Jos. Gabby  
Wm Stewart  
David Martin

By the petition of Gustavus Parson of Charles County, accompanied  
by a transcript from the Records of the County Court of said County, it  
appears, that he entered into a recognizance in the sum of \$50, with  
Frederick Nelson and Robert Browner his securities in the sum of \$25  
each, for his appearance at August Term 1824 before the said County Court,  
and for his good behaviour towards a certain Elizabeth Day, which  
said recognizance was entered forfeited at March Term 1825. The  
Petitioner states, that he did attend the said Court, according to the tenor  
of his recognizance and employed Counsel to appear and defend him, in  
case there should be any presentment against him; that no presentment  
was found against him, nor did the said Elizabeth Day appear to renew  
the Oath of the peace; that he was informed by his Counsel that he was  
discharged from any further attendance; notwithstanding all which,  
the said recognizance was by some means entered forfeited. And the  
said Court having recommended a remission of the said forfeiture, the  
same is hereby, remitted.

Jos. Kent  
Jos. Gabby  
Wm Stewart  
David Martin