

appeared before Charles County Court, at March Term 1823, and that the said recognizance was afterwards forfeited. The Petitioner, (the Widow of James W. Reeves), states, that in the life time of her husband, they were allowed the sum of thirty dollars annually, as out-pensings, to aid in the support of their helpless family; that the year previous to the death of her husband, he entered into the afore said recognizance, as security for the said Marlow, that he said husband was so much of an invalid, to be unable to attend Court, and look after the said Marlow and procure his attendance and that the said Marlow deceived him, that for the year of her husband's decease the pension afore said was collected by the then Sheriff and collector and the afore said forfeiture being placed in his hands for collection the said Sheriff supposed he was bound to retain the said pension on account of the said forfeiture, and that he self and children are in great distress and poverty; She therefore prays a remission of the said forfeiture. And the Court having recommended a compliance with the prayer of the said petitioner. we do hereby remit the same.

W. Kent  
David Martin  
Mr. Stewart  
The Davis  
Rezin Estep

By the Petition of Thomas Perry and others, accompanied by a transcript from the Records of Charles County Court, it appears, that a recognizance was entered into by Thomas Davis, in the sum of one hundred dollars, and by the said Thomas Perry and Joseph Davis and Samuel Davis in the sum of thirty three dollars thirty three cents and one third of a cent, each, for the appearance of the said Thomas Davis before the said County Court, at March Term 1823 to answer a presentment against him; and that the said recognizance has been forfeited.

The Petitioners (the securities), state that entered into the said recognizance confiding in the punctuality of the said Thomas Davis, who was of very tender years, and they hoped was not guilty; that they used great exertions to procure his attendance at said Court, but in vain and that the payment of the said forfeiture will seriously injure them. They therefore pray a release of the said forfeiture. And the Court having recommended a compliance with the prayer of the petitioners. we do hereby remit the said forfeiture.

W. Kent  
David Martin  
Mr. Stewart  
The Davis  
Rezin Estep

By the Petition of Hugh W. Drummond of Prince Georges County, accompanied by a transcript from the Records of the County Court of said County, it appears that a recognizance was entered into by a certain Hugh Prather in the sum of \$200, and by the said Hugh W. Drummond and Judge McKnew, in the sum of \$100 each, for the appearance of the said Hugh Prather before the said County Court, to answer and keep the peace &c. which said recognizance has been forfeited.

The Petitioner states that the said Hugh Prather did appear in pursuance of the said recognizance, but omitted to have his appearance noted on the Docket, in consequence of which the said recognizance was forfeited. He therefore prays...