

Alexander H. Handy, Notary Public to reside at Prince's Run,
in Somerset County.

Lambert C. Powell, additional Justice of the Peace for Worcester County.

Samuel D. Harper & Noah Telford, additional Coroners,
of Worcester County.

By the petition of Bennett Bracco Jr and a transcript from the
Records of Albion County Court, it appears that he was fined by the said Court
at November Term 1832 fifteen dollars for an assault & battery on the body of
Isaac Cox.

The petitioner, an infant under twenty one years of age by his
father Bennett Bracco Sr. represents that the case was submitted upon the
testimony of the said Isaac Cox alone, that unfortunately he could not procure
the attendance of two witnesses who were present at the time of the alleged
assault & battery, by whom the testimony of the said Cox would have been
entirely refuted and contradicted, and who would have proved that the first
aggression and assault was committed on said Bracco by said Cox, and that
said Bracco did not assail or attempt to assail the said Cox until forced
to do so in self defence, that the said witnesses were sea-faring men
who left this part of the County shortly after the said alleged assault
& battery. Samuel J. Banning certifies under oath that very
shortly after the time of said alleged assault & battery he was informed
by Royston Edwards and Joseph Dixon who were employed on board
his vessel at the time lying at Miles River Ferry, that Isaac Cox
on whose testimony the presentment was made against said Bracco
made the first assault on said Bracco and that Bracco retreated
as far as he could until he was compelled by Cox's pressing on him to
strike in self defence, and that he believes those two individuals
may be relied on. Upon consideration wherefore do remit the
said fine

James Thomas
Saml. Turner
J. C. Worthington
John L. Martin
Wm. Potter.

By the petition of Thomas C. Howell and transcript from
the Records of Charles County Court, it appears that he was fined by the
said Court in two several cases the sum of fifty dollars in each case
for selling Spirituous liquors without License.

The petitioner states that although he cannot dispute the
Justice or correctness of the Judgment pronounced against him yet he
humbly to hope that the circumstances of the offense, having been
by his wife entirely through ignorance of her duty during his absence